arXiv:1603.05898v1 [math.RT] 18 Mar 2016

THE CONJUGACY ACTION OF S_n AND MODULES INDUCED FROM CENTRALISERS

SHEILA SUNDARAM

For Benjamin and Priyanka

Abstract. We study representations related to the conjugacy action of the symmetric group. These arise as sums of submodules induced from centraliser subgroups, and their Frobenius characteristics have elegant descriptions, often as a multiplicity-free sum of power-sum symmetric functions. We describe a general framework in which such representations, and consequently such linear combinations of power-sums, can be analysed. The conjugacy action for the symmetric group, and more generally for a large class of groups, is known (from work of Frumkin and Heide-Saxl-Thiep-Zalesski, respectively) to contain every irreducible. We find other representations of dimension $n!$ with this property, including a twisted analogue of the conjugacy action. We establish the positivity of the row sums indexed by irreducible characters of the symmetric group, when restricted to conjugacy classes of partitions with all parts odd. Another result asserts the positivity of all row sums (except for the one indexed by the sign character) when the columns exclude the partitions with distinct odd parts. Our work leads to a new proof that the conjugacy action of the alternating group also contains every irreducible. By considering two natural submodules of the conjugacy action, we obtain generalisations of the corresponding results for the symmetric group.
Keywords: conjugacy action, character tables, symmetric power, exterior power, plethysm, Ramanujan sum.

1. Introduction

This paper is the outgrowth of a unified approach to some plethystic generating functions that were computed in [21] and [22]. The methods provide a general framework for analysing representations of the symmetric group which arise as sums of modules induced from centralisers. In particular we were led to the study of the conjugation action of S_n on itself, and related permutation and sign-twisted permutation representations. Further inspiration was provided by working through the invaluable collection of problems and references in Richard Stanley's *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 2*, and in particular, ([20], Exercise 7.71). It follows from work of Solomon [18] that the Frobenius characteristic of the S_n-action on itself by conjugation is a positive integer combination of the power-sum symmetric functions, each one occurring exactly once. More recently it was shown, first in [2], and then in [17], that (for $n \neq 2$) this representation contains every irreducible, or equivalently, the characteristic is a *positive* integer combination of every possible Schur function. For the symmetric group S_n, this translates into the statement that in its character table, the row-sum indexed by any irreducible character is positive.

Date: 29 October 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C05, 20C15, 20C30, 05E18, 06A07.

Our methods also provide a broad framework for the study of many nonnegative linear combinations of power-sums.

The conjugacy action has been shown to contain every irreducible for a large class of groups, including the finite simple groups ([8]). This result is equivalent to a non-vanishing condition on the kernel of the adjoint action (as in Passman's problem [8].) Interestingly, the symmetric group S_n seems to fall into a separate category from that studied in [8]. The conjugacy action decomposes into orbits indexed by centralisers; for S_n these are symmetric powers of representations induced by the trivial character of a cyclic subroup, and hence our motivation for studying the symmetric and exterior powers of such representations.

Our investigations result in the discovery of several other S_n-modules with the same dimension as the regular representation, sharing properties of the conjugation action. Many seem to contain every irreducible. In addition, the conjugacy action of the alternating subgroup is shown to play a prominent role in these modules.

We write $\lambda \vdash n$ to mean that λ is an integer partition $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots$ of n. Write $\ell(\lambda)$ for the length, or number of parts, of λ. Write Par_n for the set of all partitions of n, DO_n for the subset of Par_n consisting of partitions with all parts distinct and odd. Fix n and let T_n denote any subset of the partitions of n. Consider the linear combination of power-sum symmetric functions $P_{T_n} = \sum_{\lambda \in T_n} p_\lambda$. We will say a symmetric function is Schur-positive if it is a *nonnegative integer* combination of Schur functions.

Observe that P_{T_n} is the Frobenius characteristic of a possibly virtual S_n-module of nonzero dimension if and only if T_n includes the partition (1^n), in which case the dimension is $n!$. Also note that the case $T_n = Par_n$ corresponds to the result mentioned above about the conjugation action of S_n. Sample results of this paper, pertaining to symmetric functions, follow. For the sake of completeness we include Solomon's result.

Theorem 1.1. *For the following choices of T_n, the sums P_{T_n} are Schur-positive (and hence they are the Frobenius characteristic of some S_n-module).*

(0) *(Corollary 4.3)* $T_n = Par_n$.
(1) *(Theorem 4.6)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda$ *has all parts odd*$\}$.
(2) *(Theorem 4.11)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : n - \ell(\lambda)$ *even*$\}$.
(3) *(Theorem 4.15)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda \notin DO_n, n - \ell(\lambda)$ *even*$\}, n \geq 2$.
(4) *(Theorem 4.11)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda \notin DO_n\}, n \geq 2$.
(5) *(Theorem 4.23)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda_i = 1$ *or* $k\}$, *for any fixed* $k \geq 1$.
(6) *(Theorem 5.9)* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda_i$ *divides* $k\}$, *for any fixed* $k \geq 1$.
(7) *(Theorem 5.9) For a fixed odd prime p, T_n is the subset of partitions of n with parts in the set $\{1, 2, p, 2p\}$ such that an even part occurs at most once.*
(8) *(Theorem 5.9) T_n consists of partitions λ of n such that odd parts are factors of k, even parts occur at most once and λ_i even $\implies (\lambda_i/2)|k$ but $\lambda_i \not| k$, for any fixed $k \geq 1$.*

For the character table of S_n with rows indexed by the irreducible characters, each of the above translates into a nonnegativity statement about a suitably *restricted* row-sum, omitting certain columns (i.e., conjugacy classes). In some cases (e.g., (1)-(4) above) we are able to establish the stronger statement that these row sums are strictly positive, with an exception in case (4) for the row indexed by the sign character, which does not appear.

We note also that by considering induced representations, the statement holds when each of the subsets described above is modified by restricting to partitions with at least m parts equal to 1, for any fixed $m \geq 0$.

It is tempting to think that including the partition (1^n) in the subset T_n will guarantee Schur-positivity, by virtue of the dominating presence of the regular representation, but the following examples show that this is not the case. Suppose T_n consists of (1^n) and all partitions λ of n such that $n - \ell(\lambda)$ is odd. It is easily seen that the sign representation occurs in P_{T_n} with negative multiplicity $1 - |\{\lambda \in Par_n : n - \ell(\lambda) \text{ odd}\}|$. For instance, we have $P_{T_4} = p_1^4 + p_2p_1^2 + p_4, P_{T_5} = p_1^5 + p_2p_1^3 + p_3p_2 + p_4p_1$, and $P_{T_6} = p_1^6 + p_2p_1^4 + p_2^3 + p_3p_2p_1 + p_4p_1^2 + p_6$, and in these the sign representation occurs with multiplicity $-1, -2, -4$ respectively.

Other examples are $T_6 = \{(1^6), (2, 1^4), (3^2), (4, 2), (4, 1^2)\}$ (the multiplicity of the Schur function indexed by $(2, 1^4)$ is -1) and $T_6 = \{(1^6), (2^3), (3, 1^3), (4, 1^2), (4, 2)\}$: in the latter the multiplicity of the Schur function indexed by (3^2) is -1.

The context in which these representations arise is interesting in its own right. We define a *twisted* conjugacy action of S_n on itself, as the following sum of induced modules from centralisers. Recall that the centraliser of a permutation with m_i cycles of length i is a direct product of wreath product groups $\times_i S_{m_i}[C_i]$, where C_i is a cyclic subgroup of order i. First define a representation of the wreath product $S_k[C_m]$ so that S_m acts by conjugation on the m-cycles, and S_k acts on the k disjoint m-cycles according to the sign representation. Then take outer tensor products, inducing up to S_n from a centraliser of the form $\times_i S_{m_i}[C_i]$. We call the sum of all such modules, ranging over all possible conjugacy classes, the twisted conjugacy representation of S_n.

Theorem 1.2. *The twisted conjugacy representation is self-conjugate, has dimension* $n!$, *and contains every irreducible. Its Frobenius charactestic is given by* P_{T_n} *where* $T_n = \{\lambda \in Par_n : \lambda$ *has all parts odd* $\}$.

By considering conjugacy actions (ordinary and twisted) on the subsets of even and odd permutations separately, we show

Theorem 1.3. *(Theorems 4.17 and 4.19) The ordinary (respectively twisted) conjugacy action on the set of even permutations contains every irreducible for* $n \geq 4$ *(respectively* $n \geq 5$*) while both actions on the set of odd permutations contain every irreducible except the sign, for* $n \geq 2$.

The arguments are technical and make use of number-theoretic results on the distribution of primes. In the case of the ordinary conjugacy action, Frumkin's result follows as a corollary.

We also study related representations of the alternating group, and show the following:

Theorem 1.4. *(Theorem 6.4) Consider the conjugacy action of the alternating group* A_n *on itself, and the representation of* S_n *obtained by inducing this action up to* S_n. *This is a self-conjugate representation of* S_n *of dimension* $n!$, *in which every irreducible occurs for* $n \neq 3$. *It contains the conjugacy action on the even permutations as an invariant submodule.*

As a corollary of the preceding theorem we obtain a different proof of a result that follows from work of Heide, Saxl, Tiep and Zalesski ([8]), namely, that the conjugacy action of A_n ($n \neq 3$) on itself also contains every A_n-irreducible. See Section 6.

The case $k = 2$ of Theorem 1.1 (5) can be generalised in the following direction:

Conjecture 1. *Let L_n denote the reverse lexicographic ordering ([12], p.6) on the set of partitions of n. Then the sum $\sum p_\lambda$, taken over any final segment of the total order L_n, i.e., any interval of the form $[\mu, (1^n)]$ for fixed μ, (and thus necessarily including the partition (1^n)) is Schur-positive.*

The paper is organised as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we describe a general framework within which these questions can be investigated. In Section 4 we specialise these theorems to the conjugacy action of S_n, and in Section 5 we consider symmetric and exterior powers of an arbitrary linear character of a cyclic subgroup of S_n (the Foulkes characters [3]). In Section 6 we consider the alternating group, and more generally a finite group G with a subgroup H of index 2.

2. The plethystic framework

We follow [12] and [20] for notation regarding symmetric functions. In particular, h_n, e_n and p_n denote respectively the complete homogeneous, elementary and power-sum symmetric functions. If ch is the Frobenius characteristic map from the representation ring of the symmetric group S_n to the ring of symmetric functions with real coefficients, then $h_n = ch(1_{S_n})$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the trivial representation, and $e_n = ch(\mathrm{sgn}_{S_n})$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the sign representation of S_n. If μ is a partition of n then define $p_\mu = \prod_i p_{\mu_i}$; h_μ and e_μ are defined multiplicatively in analogous fashion. As in [12], s_μ denotes the Schur function indexed by the partition μ; it is the Frobenius characteristic of the S_n-irreducible indexed by μ. Letting z_μ denote the size of the centraliser in S_n of an element of cycle-type μ, we have that $z_\mu^{-1} p_\mu$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the class function whose value is 1 on the conjugacy class of type μ and zero on all other classes. Finally, ω is the involution on the ring of symmetric functions which takes h_n to e_n, corresponding to tensoring with the sign representation.

If q and r are characteristics of representations of S_m and S_n respectively, they yield a representation of the wreath product $S_m[S_n]$ in a natural way, with the property that when this representation is induced up to S_{mn}, its Frobenius characteristic is the plethysm $q[r]$. For more background about this operation, see [12].

In this paper we study representations of S_n induced from centralisers. The centraliser of an element with cycle-decomposition corresponding to the partition μ of n is a direct product of wreath products $\times_i S_{m_i}[C_i]$, where μ has m_i parts equal to i and C_i is a cyclic subgroup of order i (generated by an i-cycle). Note that the centraliser has cardinality $z_\mu = \prod_i i^{m_i}(m_i!)$. As indicated in the preceding paragraph, we will make extensive use of properties of the plethysm operation.

Define

$$H(t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} t^i h_i, \quad E(t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} t^i e_i;$$

$$H^{\pm}(t) = H(-t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i t^i h_i, \quad E^{\pm}(t) = E(-t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i t^i e_i;$$

$$H = \sum_{i\geq 0} h_i, \quad E = \sum_{i\geq 0} e_i; \qquad H^{\pm} = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i h_i, \quad E^{\pm} = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i e_i.$$

Now let $\{q_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be a sequence of symmetric functions, each q_i homogeneous of degree i. For example, each q_i may be the Frobenius characteristic of a possibly virtual representation of S_i. Let $Q = \sum_{i\geq 1} q_i$.

For each partition λ of $n \geq 1$ with $m_i(\lambda) = m_i$ parts equal to i, let $|\lambda| = n = \sum_i i m_i$ be the size of λ, and $\ell(\lambda) = \sum_i m_i(\lambda) = \sum_i m_i$ be the length (total number of parts) of λ. Also we say a partition μ is contained in λ, written $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, if $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$ for all i. In this case λ/μ is a skew partition and $s_{\lambda/\mu}$ is the associated skew Schur function. (When $\mu = \emptyset$, s_λ is the ordinary Schur function indexed by the partition λ.)

Define, for each partition λ of $n \geq 1$ with $m_i(\lambda) = m_i$ parts equal to i,

$$H_\lambda[Q] = \prod_{i:m_i(\lambda)\geq 1} h_{m_i}[q_i] \text{ and } E_\lambda[Q] = \prod_{i:m_i(\lambda)\geq 1} e_{m_i}[q_i].$$

Also define

$$H^\pm_\lambda[Q] = \prod_{i:m_i(\lambda)\geq 1} (-1)^{m_i} h_{m_i}[q_i] \text{ and } E^\pm_\lambda[Q] = \prod_{i:m_i(\lambda)\geq 1} (-1)^{m_i} e_{m_i}[q_i].$$

For the empty partition (of zero) we define $H_\emptyset[Q] = 1 = E_\emptyset[Q] = H^\pm_\emptyset[Q] = E^\pm_\emptyset[Q]$.

We have, by definition of $H^\pm$ (respectively $E^\pm$), and since $\sum_i m_i = \ell(\lambda)$,

$$H^\pm_\lambda[Q] = (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} H_\lambda[Q] \text{ and } E^\pm_\lambda[Q] = (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} E_\lambda[Q].$$

Let $Q(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} t^n q_n$, and consider the plethysms $H[Q(t)]$ and $E[Q(t)]$. With the convention that Par, the set of all partitions of nonnegative integers, includes the unique empty partition of zero, by the preceding observations and standard properties of plethysm [12] we have

$$H[Q(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} H_\lambda[Q], \qquad \text{and } E[Q(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} E_\lambda[Q];$$

$$H^\pm[Q(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} H^\pm_\lambda[Q] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} H_\lambda[Q],$$

$$\text{and } E^\pm[Q(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} E^\pm_\lambda[Q] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} E_\lambda[Q].$$

Also write $Q^{alt}(t)$ for the alternating sum $\sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{i-1} t^i q_i = tq_1 - t^2 q_2 + t^3 q_3 - \dots$. Note the difference with the definition of $H^\pm$ and $E^\pm$, namely, here $Q^{alt}(t)$ is not the same as $Q(-t)$, (which we would denote by $Q^\pm$) but rather equals $-Q(-t)$.

We record some properties of plethysm that are important for our purposes (see [12]):

Proposition 2.1. *If q, r are symmetric functions of homogeneous degrees m and n, respectively, g_1, g_2 are arbitrary symmetric functions, and λ is any partition, then*

1. $(fg)[q] = f[q] \cdot g[q]$;
2. $s_\lambda[q+r] = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} s_{\lambda/\mu}[f] s_\mu[g]$; *we single out the special cases* $\lambda = (n), \lambda = (1^n)$: $h_n[q+r] = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k[q] h_{n-k}[r]$ *and* $e_n[q+r] = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k[q] e_{n-k}[r]$;
3. $q[-r] = (-1)^{deg\ q} \cdot (\omega q)[r] = (-1)^m (\omega q)[r]$;
4. $\omega(q[r]) = \left(\omega^{deg\ r}(q)\right)[\omega r] = (\omega^n(q))[\omega r]$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$\omega(E_\lambda[Q]) = (-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} H_\lambda[\omega(Q)^{alt}] = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \omega\left(E^\pm_\lambda[Q]\right) \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \prod_i e_{m_{2i}}[\omega q_{2i}] h_{m_{2i+1}}[\omega q_{2i+1}];$$

$$\omega(H_\lambda[Q]) = (-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} E_\lambda[\omega(Q)^{alt}] = (-1)^{|\lambda|}\omega\left(H^{\pm}_\lambda[Q]\right) \tag{2.2.2}$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\prod_i h_{m_{2i}}[\omega q_{2i}] e_{m_{2i+1}}[\omega q_{2i+1}];$$

Proof. We prove (2.2.1), since (2.2.2) is essentially the same. Observe that $|\lambda| - \ell(\lambda) = \sum_i (i-1)m_i \equiv \sum_j (2j)m_{2j+1} + \sum_j (2j-1)m_{2j} \equiv \sum_j m_{2j} \mod 2$. Now a calculation using Proposition 2.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(E_\lambda(t)) \\
&= t^{|\lambda|}\prod_i \omega(e_{m_{2i}}[q_{2i}])\cdot\omega(e_{m_{2i+1}}[q_{2i+1}]) = t^{|\lambda|}\prod_i \omega^{2i}(e_{m_{2i}})[\omega q_{2i}]\cdot\omega^{2i+1}(e_{m_{2i+1}})[\omega q_{2i+1}] \\
&= t^{|\lambda|}\prod_i e_{m_{2i}}[\omega q_{2i}] h_{m_{2i+1}}[\omega q_{2i+1}] = t^{|\lambda|}\prod_i (-1)^{m_{2i}} h_{m_{2i}}[-\omega q_{2i}] h_{m_{2i+1}}[\omega q_{2i+1}] \\
&= (-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} t^{|\lambda|}\prod_i h_{m_i}[(-1)^{i-1}\omega q_i] = (-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} t^{|\lambda|} H_\lambda[\omega(Q)^{alt}(t)]
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.2.2) follows *mutatis mutandis*; we need only interchange e's and h's in the above sequence. The other equalities follow by applying the previous observations about $H^{\pm}_\lambda$ and $E^{\pm}_\lambda$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\{q_i\}$ be a sequence of symmetric functions with each q_i of homogeneous degree i, and let S be any subset of the positive integers. Let $\bar{S}$ denote the complement of S. Let $Q(t) = \sum_{i\geq 1} t^i q_i$ as before, and for any subset T of the positive integers, let $Q_T = \sum_{i\in T} t^i q_i$. Then*

(1) $H[Q_S(t)] = E^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]\cdot H[Q(t)]$;
(2) $E[Q_S(t)] = H^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]\cdot E[Q(t)]$.

In particular if each q_i is the Frobenius characteristic of a true S_i-module, then the coefficient of t^n in $E^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]\cdot H[Q(t)]$ (respectively $H^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]\cdot E[Q(t)]$) is also the characteristic of a true S_n-module, for any subset S of the positive integers.

Proof. We only prove (1), since (2) is similar. First note that $H[Q(t)] = H[Q_S(t)]\cdot H[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]$. This follows, for example, by using the fact that $H[Q(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} H_\lambda[Q(t)]$, and keeping track of partitions λ with parts $i \in S$. Thus we have $H[Q_S(t)]\cdot H[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)] = H[Q(t)]$.

Recall the well-known identity [12] $H(t)\cdot E^{\pm}(t) = 1 = E(t)\cdot H^{\pm}(t)$ (i.e., H and $E^{\pm}$ are multiplicative inverses in the ring of symmetric functions, as are E and $H^{\pm}$.) Thus we have $1/H[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)] = (\frac{1}{H})[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)] = E^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)]$. The result follows immediately. □

The singleton case $\bar{S} = \{\alpha\}$ deserves special mention, since then we have $E^{\pm}[Q_{\bar{S}}(t)] = \sum_{r\geq 0}(-1)^r e_r[t^\alpha q_\alpha]$, and similarly for $H^{\pm}$. Then we have

Corollary 2.4.

$$H[Q_S(t)] = \sum_{r\geq 0}(-1)^r e_r[t^\alpha q_\alpha] H[Q(t)];$$

$$E[Q_S(t)] = \sum_{r\geq 0}(-1)^r h_r[t^\alpha q_\alpha]E[Q(t)].$$

In particular if Q and S are such that $H_\lambda[Q_S]$ (respectively $E_\lambda[Q_S]$) is a representation for every $\lambda \vdash n$, then the same is true for the coefficient of t^n in the right-hand sides above.

Next we prove a result giving information about the irreducibles appearing in $H[Q_S]$ and $E[Q_S]$ in this very general setting. We will apply a similar idea in Section 4 to prove that certain representations of the symmetric group share the property of the regular representation of containing at least one copy of each irreducible. Curiously, we need a number-theoretic result.

Theorem 2.5. *(* [9]*, Theorem 418;* [16]*, Sec. 3.1, Exercises 28 and 30;)*

(1) *(Bertrand's Postulate): For every positive integer $n \geq 3$, there is a prime p such that $n/2 < p < n$.*
(2) *Every positive integer n can be written as a sum of distinct primes and the integer 1. Equivalently, for every n there is a partition δ of n whose parts are all distinct, and prime or equal to 1.*

Bertrand's Postulate actually states that for each positive integer $m \geq 2$, there is a prime p such that $m < p < 2m$. If $n = 2m$, then $n \geq 4$, and $n/2 = m$, $n = 2m$. If $n = 2m+1$, then $n \geq 5$ and we have $(n-1)/2 = m < p < 2m < n$ so that $m+1 \leq p < n$. But $n/2 < m + 1$ so we are done. If $n = 3$, clearly the prime 2 satisfies $n/2 < p < n$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\{q_i : i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of Frobenius characteristics of S_i-modules (so $q_1 = h_1$). Suppose that whenever k is prime, the representation corresponding to q_k contains every irreducible of S_k. Let $\delta \vdash n$ be a partition with all parts prime and distinct. Then the S_n-module whose characteristic is $H_\delta[Q]$ (respectively $E_\delta[Q]$) also contains every S_n-irreducible.*

Proof. We have $H_\delta[Q] = \prod q_{\delta_i}$ where the δ_i are all distinct and each is either prime or equal to 1. Thus each δ_i contains the sum of Schur functions $\sum_{\lambda\vdash i} s_\lambda$. It suffices to establish the result when δ has only two parts, say $\delta_1 = k, \delta_2 = m$. Let μ be a partition of n, and choose any partition ν of k. Consider the skew-Schur function $s_{\mu/\nu}$. It is well-known [12] that this decomposes into irreducibles according to the formula $s_{\mu/\nu} = \sum_{\rho\vdash m} c^\mu_{\nu,\rho} s_\rho$, where the $c^\mu_{\nu,\rho}$ are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. Since the skew-Schur function $s_{\mu/\nu}$ is a nonzero symmetric function, $(k < n)$, there is a partition $\rho \vdash m$ such that $c^\mu_{\nu,\rho} \neq 0$. Equivalently, for every $\mu \vdash n$, s_μ appears in the product $s_\nu \cdot s_\rho$, which in turn appears in the product $q_{\delta_1} q_{\delta_2}$. This finishes the proof. □

3. A specific choice of $Q(t)$

We will study the symmetric functions $H[Q]$ and $E[Q]$ for Q of a specific form, which we now describe. Let $\psi(n)$ be any real-valued function defined on the positive integers. Define symmetric functions f_n by

$$f_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \psi(d) p_d^{\frac{n}{d}},$$

so that

$$\omega(f_n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\psi(d)(-1)^{n-\frac{n}{d}}p_d^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Note that, when $\psi(1)$ is a positive integer, this makes f_n the Frobenius characteristic of a possibly virtual S_n-module whose dimension is $(n-1)!\psi(1)$.

Also define the associated polynomial in one variable, t, by

$$f_n(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n}\psi(d)t^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $F(t) = \sum_{t\geq 1} t^i f_i, \quad (\omega F)^{alt}(t) = \sum_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{i-1}t^i\omega(f_i)$. *Then*

$$F(t) = \log\prod_{d\geq 1}(1-t^d p_d)^{-\frac{\psi(d)}{d}} \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$(\omega F)^{alt}(t) = \log\prod_{d\geq 1}(1+t^d p_d)^{\frac{\psi(d)}{d}} \tag{3.1.2}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{t^n}{n}\sum_{d|n}\psi(d)p_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{m\geq 1}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{t^{md}}{md}\psi(d)p_d^m \quad (\text{put } n=md)\\ &= \sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}\psi(d)\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{1}{m}(t^d p_d)^m = \sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}\psi(d)\log(1-t^d p_d)^{-1}\\ &= \log\prod_{d\geq 1}(1-t^d p_d)^{-\frac{\psi(d)}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega F)^{alt}(t) &= \sum_{n\geq 1}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{t^n}{n}\omega(f_n) = \sum_{n\geq 1}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{t^n}{n}\sum_{d|n}\psi(d)(-1)^{n-\frac{n}{d}}p_d^{\frac{n}{d}}\\ &= \sum_{m\geq 1}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{t^{md}}{md}\psi(d)(-\mathbf{1})^{\mathbf{m-1}}p_d^m \quad (\text{again put } n=md)\\ &= \sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}\psi(d)\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m}(t^d p_d)^m = \sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}\psi(d)\log(1+t^d p_d)\\ &= \log\prod_{d\geq 1}(1+t^d p_d)^{\frac{\psi(d)}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $H(v) = \sum_{n\geq 0} v^n h_n$ *and* $E(v) = \sum_{n\geq 0} v^n e_n$. *We have the following plethystic generating functions:*

(Symmetric powers)

$$H(v)[F(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|}H(v)_\lambda[F] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{-f_m(v)} \tag{3.2.1}$$

(Exterior powers)

$$E(v)[F(t)] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|}E(v)_\lambda[F] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-v)} \tag{3.2.2}$$

(Alternating exterior powers)

$$\sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)}\omega(E(v)_\lambda[F])$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|}H(v)_\lambda[\omega(F)^{alt}] = H(v)[\omega(F)^{alt}(t)] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1+t^m p_m)^{f_m(v)} \tag{3.2.3}$$

(Alternating symmetric powers)

$$\sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)}\omega(H(v)_\lambda[F])$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|}E(v)_\lambda[\omega(F)^{alt}] = E(v)[\omega(F)^{alt}(t)] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1+t^m p_m)^{-f_m(-v)} \tag{3.2.4}$$

Proof. Since $H(v) = \exp\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{v^i p_i}{i}$, Equation (3.1.1) of Proposition 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
H(v)[F(t)] &= \exp\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{v^i p_i}{i}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{\psi(d)}{d}\log(1-t^d p_d)^{-1}\\
&= \exp\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{v^i}{i}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{\psi(d)}{d}\log(1-t^{id}p_{id})^{-1} \text{ (using } p_i[up_j] = u^i p_i[p_j] = u^i p_{ij})\\
&= \exp\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m} v^{m/d}\psi(d)\log(1-t^m p_m)^{-1} \text{ (putting } m = id)\\
&= \exp\sum_{m\geq 1}\log(1-t^m p_m)^{-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}}\\
&= \exp\log\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}} = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{-f_m(v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E(v) = \exp\sum_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{i-1}\frac{v^i p_i}{i}$, exactly as before we obtain, by replacing $\psi(d)$ with $\psi(d)(-1)^{\frac{m}{d}-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(v)[F(t)] &= \exp\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}(-\mathbf{1})^{\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{d}}-\mathbf{1}}\log(1-t^m p_m)^{-1}\\
&= \exp\log\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}(-\mathbf{1})^{\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{d}}}} = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As above, using (3.1.2) of Proposition 3.1, and Equation (2.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H(v)[(\omega F)^{alt}(t)] &= \exp\sum_{i\geq 1}\frac{v^i p_i}{i}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{\psi(d)}{d}\log(1+t^d p_d)\\
&= \exp\sum_{i\geq 1} v^i\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{\psi(d)}{id}\log(1+t^{id}p_{id})\\
&= \exp\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}\log(1+t^m p_m)\\
&= \exp\sum_{m\geq 1}\log(1+t^m p_m)^{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}}\\
&= \exp\log\prod_{m\geq 1}(1+t^m p_m)^{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m}\psi(d)v^{m/d}} = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1+t^m p_m)^{f_m(v)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E(v)[(\omega F)^{alt}(t)] \\
&= \exp \sum_{i\geq 1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{v^i p_i}{i} \sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\psi(d)}{d} \log(1+t^d p_d) = \exp \sum_{i\geq 1} v^i (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{d\geq 1} \frac{\psi(d)}{id} \log(1+t^{id} p_{id}) \\
&= \exp \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} (-1)^{\frac{m}{d}-1} \psi(d) v^{m/d} \log(1+t^m p_m) \\
&= \exp \sum_{m\geq 1} \log(1+t^m p_m)^{\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m} \psi(d) v^{m/d} (-1)^{\frac{m}{d}-1}} \\
&= \exp \log \prod_{m\geq 1} (1+t^m p_m)^{-f_m(-v)} = \prod_{m\geq 1} (1+t^m p_m)^{-f_m(-v)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

From Theorem 3.2 it is clear that the values of the polynomial $f_n(u) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \psi(d) u^{\frac{n}{d}}$, evaluated at $u = \pm 1$, appear prominently in the symmetric and exterior powers of $F(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} t^n f_n$. Curiously, regardless of the choice of the function $\psi(d)$, it turns out that for the choice of f_n in this section, the two numbers determine each other. We record this in

Lemma 3.3. *$f_{2m+1}(-1) = -f_{2m+1}(1)$ for all $m \geq 0$, and $f_{2m}(-1) = f_m(1) - f_{2m}(1)$ for all $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. We have $f_{2m+1}(-1) = \frac{1}{2m+1}\sum_{d|(2m+1)} \psi(d)(-1)^{\frac{2m+1}{d}} = -f_{2m+1}(1)$. For the second equality, we compute

$$
f_{2m}(-1) + f_{2m}(1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{d|(2m)} \psi(d) \left((-1)^{\frac{2m}{d}} + (1)^{\frac{2m}{d}} \right) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{\substack{d|(2m) \\ \frac{2m}{d} \text{ even}}} \psi(d).
$$

Since $\frac{2m}{d}$ is even if and only if d divides m, we have $f_{2m}(-1) + f_{2m}(1) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{d|m} \psi(d) = f_m(1)$, as claimed. □

Theorem 3.2 has the following important corollary, which we will later use to determine families of linear combinations of power-sums that are Schur-positive:

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose the functions f_n are Frobenius characteristics of true representations of S_n, i.e., f_n is Schur-positive. Then the following are also true representations of S_n :*

1. *$H_\lambda[F]$ for each $\lambda \in Par$;*
2. *The coefficient of t^n in $\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{-f_m(1)} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} H_\lambda[F]$;*
3. *$E_\lambda[F]$ for each $\lambda \in Par$;*
4. *The coefficient of t^n in $\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-1)} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} E_\lambda[F]$.*
5. *The coefficient of t^n in*

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_m (1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-1)} + \prod_m (1+(-1)^{m-1} t^m p_m)^{f_m(1)} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ even}}} E_\lambda[F];
$$

(6) *The coefficient of* t^n *in*

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_m (1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-1)} - \prod_m (1+(-1)^{m-1}t^m p_m)^{f_m(1)}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ odd}} E_\lambda[F];$$

(7) *The coefficient of* t^n *in*

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_m (1-t^m p_m)^{-f_m(1)} + \prod_m (1+(-1)^{m-1}t^m p_m)^{-f_m(-1)}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ even}} H_\lambda[F];$$

(8) *The coefficient of* t^n *in*

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_m (1-t^m p_m)^{-f_m(1)} - \prod_m (1+(-1)^{m-1}t^m p_m)^{-f_m(-1)}\right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ odd}} H_\lambda[F].$$

Proof. We use Theorem 3.2 with the specialisation $v = 1$. Parts (1)-(4) are immediate from the definitions. Parts (5) and (6) follow from Theorem 3.2, Equations (3.2.2) and (3.2.3), by splitting up the sums according to sign, namely:

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ |\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)\ \text{even}}} t^{|\lambda|}E_\lambda[F] + \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ |\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)\ \text{odd}}} t^{|\lambda|}E_\lambda[F] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{f_m(-1)}, \qquad (A)$$

and, applying the involution ω to the alternating exterior power in Equation (3.2.3):

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ |\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)\ \text{even}}} t^{|\lambda|}E_\lambda[F] - \sum_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n \\ |\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)\ \text{odd}}} t^{|\lambda|}E_\lambda[F] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1+(-1)^{m-1}t^m p_m)^{f_m(1)}. \qquad (B)$$

Adding and subtracting (A) and (B) immediately give (5) and (6) respectively.

Parts (7) and (8) follow in identical fashion using Equation (3.2.1) and (3.2.4). □

Remark 3.5. A well-known classical case is that of the free Lie algebra, obtained by taking f_n with $\psi(n) = \mu(n)$, the Möbius function. In this case f_n is the characteristic of the S_n-module obtained by inducing a faithful irreducible representation of the cyclic group C_n up to S_n. See ([15], Theorem 8.23), where our $H_\lambda[F]$ is written as U_λ, and ([20], Exercise 7.89 (f)) where it is written as L_λ.

Now assume $\psi(1) = 1$ in the definition of f_n. Then f_n is the Frobenius characteristic of a possibly virtual S_n module of dimension $(n-1)!$, whose restriction to S_{n-1} is the regular representation. This is easily seen by taking the partial derivative of f_n with respect to the first power-sum symmetric function p_1 ([12]). We will need the following fact about this differential operator ([12]):

For symmetric functions u and v each of homogeneous degree,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}(u[v]) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial p_1}\right)[v]\cdot\frac{\partial v}{\partial p_1} \qquad (3.5.1)$$

In what follows we will often use the Frobenius characteristic of an S_n-module to refer to the module itself.

Proposition 3.6. *Now assume $\psi(1) = 1$, so that $f_n \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ is the regular representation, i.e., $\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial p_1} = p_1^{n-1}$. With notation as above, let $H[F(t)] = G(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n g_n^{sym}$ and $E[F(t)] = G^{ext}(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n g_n^{ext}$. Then as virtual modules, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} g_{n+1}^{sym} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}(p_1 g_n^{sym}) = g_n^{sym} + p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}(g_n^{sym})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} g_{n+1}^{ext} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}(p_1 g_n^{ext}) = g_n^{ext} + p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}(g_n^{ext}).$$

Equivalently, we have the identities

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial p_1} g_{n+1}^{sym} = \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^n g_{n-i}^{sym} p_1^i, \qquad \tfrac{\partial}{\partial p_1} g_{n+1}^{ext} = \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^n g_{n-i}^{ext} p_1^i.$$

Proof. The hypothesis about f_n can be restated in terms of symmetric functions as $\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial p_1} = p_1^{n-1}$. We take partial derivatives with respect to p_1 in the equation $H[F(t)] = G(t)$, first observing two key points:

$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial p_1} = h_{n-1}$ implies that $\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_1} = H$, and, because each f_n restricts to the regular representation of S_{n-1}, $\frac{\partial F(t)}{\partial p_1} = \sum_{n\geq 1} t^n p_1^{n-1} = t(1-tp_1)^{-1}$

Hence we have, using the fact about restriction of induced wreath product modules:

$$H[F(t)] \cdot t(1-tp_1)^{-1} = \tfrac{\partial G(t)}{\partial p_1}, \qquad (A)$$

and hence

$$t \cdot G(t) = (1-tp_1)\tfrac{\partial G(t)}{\partial p_1}. \qquad (B)$$

But $\frac{\partial G(t)}{\partial p_1} = \sum_{n\geq 1} t^n \frac{\partial g_n^{sym}}{\partial p_1} = t \cdot \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n \frac{\partial g_{n+1}^{sym}}{\partial p_1}$. $\qquad (C)$

Thus the first equation (A) becomes

$$G(t) \cdot t(1-tp_1)^{-1} = \tfrac{\partial G(t)}{\partial p_1} \qquad (A1)$$

and the second equation (B) becomes

$$tG(t) = (1-tp_1) \cdot \tfrac{\partial G(t)}{\partial p_1} \qquad (B1)$$

From (B1) and (C) it follows upon equating coefficients of t^n, $n \geq 0$, that

$$g_n^{sym} = \frac{\partial g_{n+1}^{sym}}{\partial p_1} - p_1 \frac{\partial g_n^{sym}}{\partial p_1},$$

while (A1) and (C) yield $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} g_{n+1}^{sym} = \sum_{i=0}^n g_{n-i}^{sym} p_1^i$.

The statement for g_n^{ext} is completely analogous. □

4. Conjugation in the symmetric group

In this section we let $\psi(d) = \phi(d)$, where ϕ is the number-theoretic totient function. Then $f_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) p_d^{\frac{n}{d}}$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the representation $1 \uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$ of S_n induced from the trivial representation of a cyclic subgroup of order n.

Lemma 4.1. *We have, for $f_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) p_d^{\frac{n}{d}}$:*

(1) *$f_n(1) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.*
(2) *$f_n(-1) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \phi(d)(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}} = (-1)\delta_{n,odd}$.*

Proof. (1) This is immediate from the well-known fact that $\sum_{d|n}\phi(d) = n$.

(2) First let n be odd. Then by Lemma 3.3, $f_n(-1) = (-1)f_n(1)$ and the result follows from (1). Now let n be even. Then Lemma 3.3 tells us that $f_n(-1) = f_{n/2}(1) - f_n(1) = 1 - 1 = 0$ by (1).

This establishes the claim. □

Define Par_n^{odd} (respectively Par_n^{even}) to be the set of partitions of n with all parts odd (respectively even), and $Par_n^{\neq}$ to be the set of partitions of n with distinct parts. An old result of Euler states that Par_n^{odd} and $Par_n^{\neq}$ have the same cardinality. As in the Introduction, define $DO_n = Par^{\neq}(n) \cap Par^{odd}$, the set of all partitions of n into parts that are odd and distinct. It is also well-known that DO_n has the same cardinality as the set of self-conjugate partitions of n. From Theorem 3.2 (taking $v = 1$) and Lemma 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let f_n be the Frobenius characteristic of $1\uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$ as in the preceding lemma. Then*

(1) *(Symmetric powers)*

$$H[F(t)] = \sum_{\mu} t^{|\mu|} H_\mu[F] = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1 - t^m p_m)^{-1} = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n} p_\lambda; \tag{4.2.1}$$

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n} H_\mu[F] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.2}$$

(2) *(Exterior powers)*

$$E[F(t)] = \sum_{\mu} t^{|\mu|} E_\mu[F] = \prod_{m\geq 0}(1 - t^{2m+1} p_{2m+1})^{-1} = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.3}$$

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n} E_\mu[F] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.4}$$

(3) *(Alternating exterior powers)*

$$\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} t^{|\lambda|}\omega(E_\lambda[F]) = \prod_{m\geq 1}(1 + t^m p_m) = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.5}$$

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n}(-1)^{|\mu|-\ell(\mu)}\omega(E_\mu[F]) = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.6}$$

(4) *(Alternating symmetric powers)*

$$\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} t^{|\lambda|}\omega(H_\lambda[F]) = \prod_{m\geq 0}(1 + t^{2m+1} p_{2m+1}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} t^n \sum_{\lambda\in DO_n} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.7}$$

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n}(-1)^{|\mu|-\ell(\mu)}\omega(H_\mu[F]) = \sum_{\lambda\in DO_n} p_\lambda. \tag{4.2.8}$$

The preceding calculations take on added significance when we observe their connection with the operation of S_n on itself by conjugation. This action results in a permutation representation $\psi(S_n)$ whose orbits are the conjugacy classes of S_n (indexed by partitions of n); since the centraliser of any element of type λ is a direct product of wreath products of the form $\times_i S_{m_i}[C_i]$ if λ has m_i parts equal to i, it follows easily that the degree n term in $H[F(t)]$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the conjugacy action of S_n on itself, and more specifically, the degree n term in $H_\lambda[F]$ is the characteristic of the conjugation action on the conjugacy class indexed by the partition λ. Hence we obtain, as an immediate corollary to (1) above, the following known result, the case $G = S_n$ of [18]; see also ([20], Solution to Exercise 7.71 (a) and (b)):

Corollary 4.3. *([20], Exercise 7.71 (c)) Let $f_n = ch(1\uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n})$. Let $\psi(S_n)$ denote the representation of S_n acting on itself by conjugation. Then*

(1)
$$ch(\psi(S_n)) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} H_\lambda[F] = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n, \lambda=\prod_i i^{m_i}} \prod_i h_{m_i}[f_i].$$

(2)
$$ch(\psi(S_n)) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} p_\lambda.$$

In particular, if χ^ν is the irreducible S_n-character indexed by the partition ν of n, we recover the known result ([18]) that for every partition ν of n, $\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\chi^\nu(\lambda)$ is a nonnegative integer.

Proof. These facts are immediate from Theorem 3.2 (1), since the coefficient of t^n in $\prod_{m\geq 1}(1-t^m p_m)^{-1}$ is precisely $\sum_{\lambda\vdash n,\lambda=\prod_i i^{m_i}}\prod_i h_{m_i}[f_i]$. Using

$$p_\lambda = \sum_{\nu\vdash n} s_\nu \chi^\nu(\lambda)$$

completes the proof. □

The conjugation action of S_n on itself has been the subject of several papers ([18], [2], [17]), and also ([15], 8.6.3). The character of this action was computed more generally for finite groups G by Solomon [18]:

Theorem 4.4. *([18]; see also ([20], Solution to Exercise 7.71 (a) and (b))) Let G be a finite group. The permutation representation of G acting on itself by conjugation has the following properties:*

1. *The multiplicity of the irreducible χ equals the sum $\sum_C \chi(C)$ where C ranges over all the conjugacy classes of G.*
2. *Its character is given by the formula $\sum_{\chi\in Irr(G)}\chi\bar{\chi}$, where $Irr(G)$ is the set of irreducible characters of G.*

It follows immediately from Solomon's observation (2) for finite groups G (see also e.g., ([12], p. 119, [20], Exercise 7.71) that, in the case of the symmetric group S_n,

$$\sum_{\lambda\vdash n} p_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} s_\lambda * s_\lambda,$$

where $*$ denotes the internal product of symmetric functions, i.e., if V and W are two S_n-modules with Frobenius characteristics f_V and f_W respectively, then $f_V * f_W$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the inner tensor product module $V \otimes W$.

The description of the conjugation action as the coefficient of t^n in $H[F(t)]$, i.e., as a sum of induced modules from centralisers, was used by T. Scharf [17] to give another proof of a result originally due to A. Frumkin [2] that for $n \neq 2$, every irreducible appears in the conjugation action of S_n.

Frumkin's result is

Theorem 4.5. *([2], [17]) If $n \neq 2$, every irreducible of S_n appears in $\psi(S_n)$. Equivalently, the character table row-sums $\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi^\nu(\lambda)$ are positive integers for every partition ν of $n \neq 2$.*

Now consider the exterior powers in Theorem 4.2: Let $\varepsilon(S_n)$ be the representation of S_n whose Frobenius characteristic is the coefficient of t^n in $E[F(t)]$ for $f_n = 1 \uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$. Thus

$$ch(\varepsilon(S_n)) = \sum_{\lambda \in Par_n} E_\lambda[F] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n, \lambda = \prod_i i^{m_i}} \prod_i e_{m_i}[f_i].$$

Equivalently, $\varepsilon(S_n)$ is a sum of transitive monomial representations, with orbits indexed by partitions λ of n, and stabiliser equal to the centraliser of type λ. The action of S_n is trivial within each cycle, and permutes cycles of the same length according to the sign representation. Thus $\varepsilon(S_n)$ may be considered to be the conjugation action twisted by the sign. Note that the second statement of the next result, namely, that $\varepsilon(S_n)$ is self-conjugate, is not obvious from the above definition: the submodules with Frobenius characteristic $E_\lambda[F]$ are not themselves self-conjugate for arbitrary λ.

Theorem 4.6. *We have, with $f_n = ch(1 \uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n})$:*

(1)
$$ch(\varepsilon(S_n)) = E[F] = \sum_{\lambda \in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda.$$

(2) *$\varepsilon(S_n)$ is a self-conjugate representation of S_n of degree $n!$*

(3) *If χ^ν is the irreducible S_n-character indexed by the partition ν of n, then for every $\nu \vdash n$, $\sum_{\lambda \in Par_n^{odd}} \chi^\nu(\lambda)$ is a nonnegative integer.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from (2) of Theorem 3.2 (with $v = 1$), by taking the coefficient of t^n in the right-hand side of (2). Part (2) is now immediate, since $\omega(p_i) = (-1)^{i-1} p_i$ and thus ω fixes p_i if i is odd. Hence if λ has all parts odd, p_λ is fixed by ω. The statement about the degree is clear since only $p_{(1^n)} = p_1^n$ contributes to the degree (a square-free term).

Part (3) follows upon writing the expression in (1) in terms of Schur functions. □

Computations with Stembridge's symmetric functions package SF for Maple suggest that, just as in the case of the conjugation action, every irreducible occurs in $\varepsilon(S_n)$. In order to prove this we will need a lemma about the irreducibles appearing in the representations $1 \uparrow_{C_k}^{S_k}$:

Lemma 4.7. *If k is an odd prime, the representation $f_k = ch(1 \uparrow_{C_k}^{S_k})$ contains every irreducible except for those indexed by $(k-1,1)$ and $(2,1^{k-2})$. More generally, f_n always contains the trivial representation, and never contains the representation $(n-1,1)$, and*

contains the representation (1^n) *(once) if and only if* n *is odd and* $(2,1^{n-2})$ *(once) if and only if* n *is even.*

Proof. Note that $f_2 = h_2$. We have $f_k = \frac{1}{k}(p_1^k + (k-1)p_k)$ since $\phi(k) = (k-1)$ when k is prime. Let $\nu \vdash k$.

Let χ^ν be the irreducible indexed by ν; its degree f^ν is the number of standard Young tableaux of shape ν. Expanding in terms of Schur functions, we have $f_k = \frac{1}{k}(\sum_\nu f^\nu s_\nu + (k-1)\sum_\nu \chi^\nu((k)))s_\nu$, where we have used the fact that $p_\mu = \sum_\lambda \chi^\lambda(\mu)s_\lambda$.

But for the k-cycle (k), it is well-known ([12], [20]) that $\chi^\nu((k))$ is nonzero if and only if $\nu = (k-r,1^r)$ is a hook shape, in which case it equals $(-1)^r$. Hence the multiplicity of the irreducible ν in f_k is $\frac{1}{k}f^\nu$ if ν is not a hook, and equals $\frac{1}{k}(\binom{k-1}{r} + (k-1)(-1)^r)$ if $\nu = (k-r,1^r), 0 \leq r \leq k-1$. It follows that the trivial and sign representations ($r = 0$ and $r = k-1$ respectively) each occur exactly once, the representation $(k-1,1)$ never occurs, and, because $r = k-2$ is odd, the representation $(2,1^{k-2})$ never occurs.

If $\nu \vdash k$ is any partition other than a hook, the multiplicity is given by $\frac{1}{k}f^\nu$. Since this number is necessarily an integer, and f^ν is a positive integer (being the number of standard Young tableaux of shape ν, or the degree of the irreducible indexed by ν), it follows that it is nonzero.

The last statement for general n follows from a theorem of Kráskiewicz and Weyman, and independently Stanley, ([11], [20], Solution to Exercise 7.88 (b); see also [15], Cor. 8.10) that the multiplicity of the irreducible indexed by λ in f_n equals the number of standard Young tableau with major index congruent to 0 modulo n. □

Remark 4.8. Maple computations with SF in fact indicate that f_n contains every irreducible besides $(n-1,1), (2,1^{n-2})$ and (1^n), but this seems considerably more difficult to prove. (The author is grateful to R. Stanley for verifying this up to $n = 29$.)

Theorem 4.9. *The representation* $\varepsilon(S_n)$ *(as well as the representation* $\psi(S_n)$ *for* $n \neq 2$*) contains every irreducible representation of* S_n*. In particular, the nonnegative integers* $\sum_{\lambda \in Par_n^{odd}} \chi^\nu(\lambda)$ *are in fact positive for all partitions* ν *of* n*.*

Proof. Our starting point is the formula $ch(\varepsilon(S_n)) = \sum_{\lambda \in Par_n} E_\lambda[F]$ (recall the definition of $E_\lambda[H]$ from the preliminaries in Section 2). We will show that for every partition $\lambda \in Par$, there is a partition $\tau(\lambda)$ of $n = |\lambda|$ such that the Schur function s_λ appears in the representation $E_{\tau(\lambda)}[H]$, i.e., such that $\langle s_\lambda, E_{\tau(\lambda)}[H]\rangle > 0$.

First note that $f_i = h_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Also the trivial representation $h_n = s_{(n)}$ appears in $f_n = E_{(n)}[F]$, and the sign representation also appears in $\varepsilon(S_n)$ because $e_n = e_n[f_1] = E_{(1^n)}[F]$. Hence we can take $\tau((n)) = (n)$ and $\tau((1^n)) = (1^n)$. Similarly since $s_{(n-1,1)}$ appears in the product $h_{n-1}h_1$, which in turn appears in $f_{n-1}f_1 = e_1[f_{n-1}]e_1[f_1] = E_{(n-1,1)}[F]$ for $n \geq 3$, we can take $\tau((n-1,1)) = (n-1,1)$ for $n \geq 3$. Likewise, $s_{(2,1^{n-2})}$ appears in $e_1[f_2]e_{n-2}[f_1] = E_{(2,1^{n-2})}[F]$, so that we may take $\tau((2,1^{n-2})) = (2,1^{n-2})$ when $n \geq 3$.

We proceed by induction on $n = |\lambda|$. The cases $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ are trivial. Let $n = 2$. Then for $\lambda \vdash 2$, $\tau(\lambda) = \lambda$, since $h_2 = s_{(2)}$ appears in $f_2 = e_1[f_2] = E_{(2)}[F]$, and $e_2 = s_{(1^2)}$ appears in $e_2 = e_2[f_1] = E_{(1^2)}[F]$.

The three partitions of $n = 3$ have already been addressed. Now let $n = 4$. Here the first new partition is (2^2). But it is easily checked that there is a standard Young tableau of shape (2^2) with major index congruent to 0 modulo 4, and hence $s_{(2^2)}$ appears in $f_4 = e_1[f_4] = E_{(4)}[F]$. We may therefore take $\tau((2^2)) = (4)$.

For $n = 5$, considering only the partitions we have not already addressed, since 5 is an odd prime, we have that $\tau(\lambda) = \lambda$ for every partition λ except $(4, 1)$ and its conjugate $(2, 1^3)$.

By induction hypothesis, assume that for every $i \leq n$, every partition λ of i admits a partition $\tau(\lambda)$ of i such that s_λ appears in $E_{\tau(\lambda)}[F]$. Let $n \geq 6$.

From the preceding discussion, we may assume λ and λ^t do not equal $(n-1, 1)$. By Bertrand's Postulate (see Theorem 2.5) there is a largest prime $q \geq 5$ such that $n/2 < q < n$, i.e., $n > q > n - q > 1$. We claim that there is a partition μ of q such that $\mu \subset \lambda$ and neither μ nor its transpose is of the form $(m-1, 1)$. Invoking Lemma 4.7, this guarantees that s_μ appears in $f_q = E_{(q)}[F]$.

Case 1: If μ is of the form $(m-1, 1)$, then since $n - q > n/2 \geq 1$, and we have assumed $\lambda \neq (n-1, 1)$, we know that all the squares in the skew shape λ/μ cannot be in row 1; there is at least one square in the skew-shape that is in row 2 or row 3. Hence we may modify our choice by taking $\mu = (q-2, 2)$ or $\mu = (q-2, 1^2)$. Both shapes satisfy our criteria (the second because $q \neq 4$) and hence the claim.

Case 2: If μ^t is of the form $(m-1, 1)$, then again there must be a square in the skew-shape λ/μ that is in row 2 or row 1; all the squares cannot be at the bottom because that would force $\lambda^t = (n-1, 1)$. Thus we may modify our choice by taking $\mu = (2^2, 1^{q-4})$ or $\mu = (3, 1^{q-3})$. Both shapes satisfy our criteria (the second because $q \geq 5$) and the claim follows.

Next consider the set of partitions ν of $n-q$ such that s_λ appears in the product $s_\mu s_\nu$, and choose such a ν. Assume first that *neither ν nor ν^t is of the form* $(m-1, 1),\ m \geq 2$. Then by induction hypothesis, there is a partition $\tau(\nu)$ of $n - q$ such that s_ν appears in $E_{\tau(\nu)}[F]$. Also s_μ appears in $E_{(q)}[F] = f_q$ by Lemma 4.7 since q is an odd prime. Hence s_λ appears in $E_{\tau(\nu)}[F] \cdot E_{(q)}[F] = E_{\tau(\nu)}[F] f_q$. But this is just $E_{\tau(\nu)\cup(q)}[F]$, since $q > n - q$ implies that q is distinct from the parts of $\tau(\nu)$. We have shown that we may take $\tau(\lambda) = \tau(\nu) \cup (q)$. (If $m = 1$, $\tau(\nu)$ is just (1)and $\tau(\lambda)$ is the two-part partition $(q, 1)$.)

Now assume ν^t *is of the form* $(m-1, 1), m \geq 2$, *i.e.,* $\nu = (2, 1^{m-2})$. Then s_ν appears in the product $h_2 e_{m-2}[f_1] = e_1[f_2] \cdot e_{m-2}[f_1]$. It follows that s_λ appears in $E_{(q)}[F] \cdot E_{(2)}[F] \cdot E_{(1^{m-2})}[F]$, so that we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (q, 2, 1^{m-2})$. Since q is an odd prime, we have $E_{(q)}[F] \cdot E_{(2)}[F] \cdot E_{(1^{m-2})}[F] = E_{(q,2,1^{m-2})}[F]$.

Finally assume ν *is of the form* $(m-1, 1)$. Clearly s_ν appears in $f_{m-1} f_1$ where $m = n - q$. Again we have two cases.

Case (1a): If $m - 1 = n - q - 1 > 1$, we have that s_λ appears in $s_\mu s_\nu$ and thus in $f_q f_{m-1} f_1 = E_{(q,m-1,1)}$, so we may take $\tau(\lambda)$ to be the partition $(q, m-1, 1)$ and this has distinct parts since q is an odd prime which is greater than $n/2$ and $2 \leq m - 1 = n - q - 1 < n/2 - 1$.

Case (2a): If $m = 2$, i.e., $\nu = (1^2)$, then $s_\nu = e_2$ appears in $e_2[f_1] = E_{(1^2)}[F]$, and thus we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (q, 1^2)$, so that s_λ appears in $s_\mu e_2$, which in turn appears in $f_q e_2 = E_{(q)}[F] \cdot E_{(1^2)}[F] = E_{(q,1^2)}$.

This completes the induction, showing that every irreducible appears in $\varepsilon(S_n)$. □

Tables 1 and 2 contain the decomposition into irreducibles up to $n = 10$ for the ordinary and twisted conjugacy representations $\psi(S_n)$ and $\varepsilon(S_n)$.

If W_n is a representation of S_n of dimension $n!$, and ρ_n denotes the regular representation of S_n, then the induced representation $W_k \otimes \rho_{n-k} \uparrow^{S_n}_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}$ also has dimension $n!$; if

W_n contains every S_k-irreducible, then the induced representation clearly also contains every S_n-irreducible. Hence we have

Corollary 4.10. *Fix $k \geq 0$. Then the induced representations whose characteristics are $ch\,\psi(S_k) \cdot p_1^{n-k}, k \neq 2$ and $ch\,\varepsilon(S_k) \cdot p_1^{n-k}$ are both $n!$-dimensional representations of S_n containing every irreducible; the second representation is self-conjugate.*

The proof of Theorem 4.9 can be adapted to give a new proof that $\psi(S_n)$ contains every irreducible. The remainder of this section is devoted to proving a stronger result. We start by introducing a new class of representations, motivated primarily by the fact that the S_n-action on itself by conjugation breaks up into invariant submodules indexed by partitions λ of n.

For any subset T of partitions of n, define the representation $\psi(S_n, T)$ to be the conjugation action of S_n on permutations whose types lie in T, and similarly the representation $\varepsilon(S_n, T)$ to be the twisted conjugation action of S_n on permutations whose types lie in T. The Frobenius characteristics are thus the Schur-positive symmetric functions $ch(\psi(S_n, T)) = \sum_{\lambda \in T} H_\lambda[F]$ and $ch(\varepsilon(S_n, T)) = \sum_{\lambda \in T} E_\lambda[F]$. In particular, taking T as a set to be the alternating subgroup A_n or its complement, which we denote by $\bar{A}_n$, we have, since the sign of a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is given by $(-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)}$ if the type of σ is the partition μ, we have

$$ch(\psi(S_n, A_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in Par_n \\ n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ even}}} H_\lambda[F], \quad \text{and } ch(\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in Par_n \\ n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ odd}}} H_\lambda[F];$$

$$ch(\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in Par_n \\ n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ even}}} E_\lambda[F], \quad \text{and } ch(\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in Par_n \\ n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ odd}}} E_\lambda[F].$$

Theorem 4.11. *We have the following formulas for the Frobenius characteristics, and each linear combination of power-sums below is Schur-positive:*

$$ch\,\psi(S_n) = ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n) + ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\mu\vdash n} H_\mu[F] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n} p_\lambda; \tag{4.11.1}$$

$$ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ even}} H_\mu[F] = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in DO_n} p_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n} p_\lambda\right); \tag{4.11.2}$$

$$ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ odd}} H_\mu[F] = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\lambda\notin DO_n} p_\lambda; \tag{4.11.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(ch\,\psi(S_n) + \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n))\right) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu\vdash n}\left(H_\mu[F] + \omega(H_\mu[F])\right) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in Par_n\\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ even}} p_\lambda; \tag{4.11.4}$$

$$ch\,\varepsilon(S_n) = ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, A_n) + ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\mu\vdash n} E_\mu[F] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda; \tag{4.11.5}$$

$$\omega(ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ even}} \omega(E_\mu[F]) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda + \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda\right); \tag{4.11.6}$$

$$\omega(ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ odd}} \omega(E_\mu[F]) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{odd}} p_\lambda - \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda\right). \tag{4.11.7}$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 3.4. The only point that requires comment is the presence of the fraction $\frac{1}{2}$. In each case, one side is clearly Schur-positive; equating multiplicities of each Schur function, we have that twice the multiplicity on one side is a nonnegative integer, and hence the multiplicity itself must be a nonnegative integer. Here we invoke the fact that the character values of S_n are all integers. □

Note that $\sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda$ is of course NOT Schur-positive, having dimension zero. Even including the regular representation does not result in Schur-positivity, since for $n = 7$ we have $\langle \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n^{\neq}} p_\lambda, s_{(1^7)}\rangle = -2$.

Corollary 4.12. *For $n \neq 2$, the Schur-positive symmetric function $\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in Par_n\\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ even}} p_\lambda$ contains s_ν for every partition ν of n.*

Proof. We use equations (4.11.1) and (4.11.4) in Theorem 4.11. Since for $n \neq 2$ the conjugacy representation contains every irreducible at least once, it is immediate from Equation (4.11.4) that $\langle \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in Par_n\\ n-\ell(\lambda)\ \text{even}}} p_\lambda, s_\nu\rangle > 0$. Hence, invoking again the fact that the characters of S_n are integer-valued, i.e., every power-sum is an integer combination of Schur functions, the statement follows. □

Define the possibly virtual modules

$$U_n^{DO} = \textstyle\sum_{\lambda\in DO_n} p_\lambda, \quad U_n^{+} = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n, \mu\notin DO_n\\ n-\ell(\mu)even}} p_\mu,$$

and

$$U_n^- = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n, \mu\notin DO_n \\ n-\ell(\mu) odd}} p_\mu = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) odd}} p_\mu.$$

Note that U_n^+ is the characteristic of a module that has dimension $n!$ (because p_1^n is a summand), while U_n^- and U_n^{DO} are characteristics of zero-dimensional (hence virtual) S_n-modules.

Proposition 4.13. *We have*

$$U_n^{DO} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n}(-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)}H_\lambda[F], \text{ and } U_n^{DO} \text{ is self-conjugate} \tag{4.13.1}$$

$$U_n^+ \text{ is self-conjugate, while } \omega(U_n^-) = -U_n^-. \tag{4.13.2}$$

$$\psi(S_n) = U_n^+ + U_n^- + U_n^{DO} \tag{4.13.3}$$

$$\omega\psi(S_n) = U_n^+ - U_n^- + U_n^{DO} \tag{4.13.4}$$

$$\psi(S_n, A_n) = \frac{1}{2}(U_n^+ + U_n^-) + U_n^{DO} \tag{4.13.5}$$

$$\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \frac{1}{2}(U_n^+ + U_n^-) \tag{4.13.6}$$

$$2U_n^- = \psi(S_n) - \omega(\psi(S_n)) \tag{4.13.7}$$

$$U_n^{DO} = \psi(S_n, A_n) - \psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) \tag{4.13.8}$$

$$U_n^+ = \psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) \tag{4.13.9}$$

$$U_n^+ + U_n^{DO} = \psi(S_n, A_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi(S_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n))\right) \tag{4.13.10}$$

Proof. The first equation, Equation (4.13.1), follows directly from the definition of U_n^{DO} and Theorem 4.2, Part (4). Now $\omega(p_\mu) = (-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)}p_\mu$. Since $n-\ell(\mu)$ is odd for all the partitions μ appearing in the decomposition of U_n^-, we compute $\omega(U_n^-) = (-1)U_n^-$. Also $n-\ell(\mu)$ is even if $\mu \in DO_n$. Hence statement (4.13.2).

Equation (4.13.3) follows from Theorem 4.2, Part (1), since $U_n^+ + U_n^- + U_n^{DO}$ is the sum of all p_λ as λ ranges over all partitions of n.

Equation (4.13.4) now follows from the preceding paragraphs. Equations (4.13.5) and (4.13.6) are consequences of Theorem 4.10, Parts (2) and (3) respectively, since $U_n^+ + U_n^- = \sum_{\lambda\notin DO_n} p_\lambda$.

Equation (4.13.7) follows by subtracting (4.13.4) from (4.13.3), while (4.13.8) follows by subtracting (4.13.6) from (4.13.5). Equation (4.13.9) follows directly from equation (4.13.6) by applying the involution ω and using (4.13.2). Finally (4.13.10) is immediate upon combining (4.13.9) and (4.13.8). □

Corollary 4.14. *Let λ be a partition of n. If λ is not self-conjugate, then $\langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_\lambda\rangle$ and $\langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_{\lambda^t}\rangle$ are either both odd or both even. If $\lambda = \lambda^t$, then the irreducible indexed by λ does not appear in U_n^-.*

Proof. From equations (4.13.3) and (4.13.4) above, it follows that if λ is not self-conjugate, then

$$\langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_\lambda\rangle + \langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_{\lambda^t}\rangle = \langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_\lambda\rangle + \langle \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n)), s_\lambda\rangle = 2\langle U_n^+ + U_n^{DO}, s_\lambda\rangle$$

is even, as is

$$\langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_\lambda\rangle - \langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_{\lambda^t}\rangle = \langle ch\,\psi(S_n), s_\lambda\rangle - \langle \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n)), s_\lambda\rangle = 2\langle U_n^-, s_\lambda\rangle.$$

The claims follow. □

An immediate consequence of Proposition 4.13 is

Theorem 4.15. *U_n^+ and $U_n^+ + U_n^{DO}$ are both Frobenius characteristics of self-conjugate, true S_n-modules of dimension $n!$ We have*

$$U_n^+ = \sum_{\substack{\mu \notin DO_n \\ n-\ell(\mu)\ even}} p_\mu = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) odd}} (H_\mu[F] + \omega(H_\mu[F]))$$

$$= ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) + \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)). \tag{4.15.1}$$

$$U_n^+ + U_n^{DO} = \sum_{n-\ell(\mu)\ even} p_\mu$$

$$= ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n) + \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)) \tag{4.15.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(ch\,\psi(S_n) + \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n))\right). \tag{4.15.3}$$

Hence we also have

$$U_n^+ + U_n^{DO} = \omega(ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n)) + ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n). \tag{4.15.4}$$

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 4.13, Equations (4.13.9) and (4.13.10), recalling that $ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) odd}} H_\mu[F]$. The second equality in (4.15.2) was established in (4.11.4) (see Theorem 4.11).

Now recalling that $ch\,\psi(S_n) = ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n) + ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ (see (4.11.1)), we obtain (4.15.3). This is also a consequence of the fact that $U_n^+ + U_n^{DO}$ is self-conjugate. □

We now need a number-theoretic lemma on the distribution of primes that follows from a result of Nagura [13].

Lemma 4.16. *If $n \geq 12$ then there is an odd prime q such that $n > q > n - q \geq 5$. If $n \geq 11$ or $n = 9$, there is an odd prime q such that $q > n - q \geq 4$.*

Proof. Nagura's theorem [13] states that, for every positive integer $m \geq 25$, there is at least one prime between m and $6m/5$, i.e., such that $m < p < 6m/5$. By iterating this, as observed in [14], we find that

$$m < p_1 < 6m/5 < p_2 < 36m/25 < p_3 < 216m/125 < 2m.$$

Hence, for $m \geq 25$, there are three distinct primes such that $m < p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < 2m$. Putting $n = 2m + 1$, this gives $(n-1)/2 = m < p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < 2m < n$, so that $n/2 = m + 1/2 < m + 1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < 2m < n$, while putting $n = 2m$ leads to the same conclusion. It follows that for $n \geq 50$, there are primes p_1, p_2, p_3 such that

$$n/2 < p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < n.$$

Setting $q = p_1$, we have $n - q = (n - p_3) + (p_3 - p_2) + (p_2 - p_1) \geq 1 + 2 + 2 = 5$, for $n \geq \mathbf{50}$.

The remaining cases, viz., $12 \leq n < 50$, are easily checked by hand, down to $n = 11$: the prime 7 is less than 11 and greater than $11/2$, and $11 - 7 = 4$. Similarly $q = 5$ satisfies the requirement for $n = 9$. Also, if $50 > n \geq 12$, one checks that there is a prime q, $n > q > n/2$, such that $n - q \geq 5$. □

Our next theorem strengthens the fact that the conjugacy action contains every S_n-irreducible ([2], see Theorem 4.5).

Theorem 4.17. *Every S_n-irreducible appears in the conjugacy action on the subset of even permutations, for $n \geq 4$. Also every S_n-irreducible except the sign, appears in the conjugacy action on the set of odd permutations, for $n \geq 2$.*

Equivalently: For every partition $\lambda \vdash n$, the Schur function s_λ appears

(1) *in the (Schur-positive) symmetric function $ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) even}} H_\mu[F]$ for all $n \geq 4$;*
(2) *in the (Schur-positive) symmetric function $ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) odd}} H_\mu[F]$, except for $\lambda = (1^n)$, which never appears when $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Note that the sign representation will appear in $H_\mu[F]$ if and only if μ has distinct odd parts, since f_n contains the sign if and only if n is odd. But $n - \ell(\mu)$ is necessarily even for such a μ. Hence the sign representation appears only in $\psi(S_n, A_n)$.

We will use an induction argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.9. However, because the sign representation does not appear in $\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$, we will need Lemma 4.16.

Maple computations with SF show that the statement of the theorem holds for $n \leq 12$. The resulting decompositions into irreducibles of $\psi(S_n, A_n)$ and $\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ are recorded in Table 3, where we have denoted by λ the S_n-irreducible indexed by the partition λ.

Our induction hypothesis will be that for fixed $n \geq 12$, whenever $4 \leq k < n$, and $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{2,3}$, for every partition λ of k, $\lambda \neq (1^k)$, there are partitions $\tau(\lambda)$ and $\sigma(\lambda)$ of k such that $\ell(\tau(\lambda))$ is even, $\ell(\sigma(\lambda))$ is odd, and s_λ appears in both $H_{\tau(\lambda)}[F]$ and $H_{\sigma(\lambda)}[F]$. For $\lambda = (1^k)$ we know there is a partition $\tau(\lambda)$ such that $k - \tau(\lambda)$ is even and s_λ appears in $H_{\tau(\lambda)}[F]$.

It is convenient to address the extreme cases first. We have

(1) Let $\lambda = (n)$. Then we may always take $\tau(\lambda) = (n)$ because $s_{(n)}$ appears in $H_{(n)}[F] = f_n$ which contains h_n. Similarly we may take $\sigma(\lambda)$ to be $(n-1, 1)$, because if $H_{(n-1,1)}[F] = f_{n-1}f_1$ if $n \geq 3$, contains $h_{n-1}h_1$, which in turn contains h_n. This includes the case $n = 2$, for then we have $\sigma(\lambda) = (1^2)$ and $H_{(1^2)}[F] = h_2[f_1] = h_2$. When $n = 3$, the two partitions $(2, 1)$ and (1^3) satisfy the required conditions:$H_{\sigma(\lambda)}[F] = f_2f_1$ or $h_3[f_1]$. We have shown that $s_{(n)}$ appears in $ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n)$ and in $ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ for all n.
(2) Let $\lambda = (1^n)$. Take $\tau(\lambda) = (n)$ if n is odd, so that $H_\tau[F] = f_n$, and $\tau(\lambda) = (n-1, 1)$ if n is even, $n \neq 2$, so that $H_\tau[F] = f_{n-1}f_1$, . In each case, since f_m contains the sign representation for odd m, $s_{(1^n)}$ appears in $H_\tau[F]$; if n is odd, $n - \ell(\tau) = n - 1$ is even, and if n is even, $n - \ell(\tau) = n - 2$ is again even. Hence $s_{(1^n)}$ appears in $H_\tau[F], n \neq 2$, with even $n - \ell(\tau)$, i.e., in $ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n), n \neq 2$.
(3) Let $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$. Provided $n \geq 4$, we may take $\tau(\lambda)$ to be $(n-1, 1)$ and $\sigma(\lambda)$ to be $(n-2, 1^2)$. In the first case $H_{(n-1,1)}[F] = f_{n-1}f_1$ which contains $h_{n-1}h_1$ and hence contains $s_{(n-1,1)}$; in the second case (since $n-2 > 1$) $H_{(n-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{n-2}h_2$ contains $h_{n-2}h_2$, which in turn contains $s_{(n-1,1)}$. If $n = 3$ we can take $\tau = (2, 1)$, and then $s_{(2,1)}$ appears in $H_\tau[F] = f_2f_1 = h_2h_1$, i.e., in $ch\,\psi(S_3, S_3 \backslash A_3)$ but not in $ch\,\psi(S_3, A_3)$. Hence $s_{(n-1,1)}$ appears in $ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n)$ for $n \geq 4$ and in $ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ for $n \geq 3$.

(4) Let $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-2})$. Since f_r contains the sign representation if and only if r is odd, it follows that
   (a) If n is odd, we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (n-2, 2)$ and $\sigma(\tau) = (n-2, 1^2), n \geq 4$ (the case $n = 3$ has been addressed above);
   (b) If n is even, we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (n-1, 1)$ and $\sigma(\lambda) = (n)$ for $n \geq 2$; because by Lemma 4.7, $s_{(2,1^{n-2})}$ appears in $H_{(n)}[F] = f_n$ whenever n is even, in which case $n - \ell((n))$ is odd.

   Hence $s_{(2,1^{n-2})}$ appears in $ch\,\psi(S_n, A_n)$ if $n \geq 4$, and in $ch\,\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ if $n \geq 3$.

Now let $n \geq 12$, and let λ be any partition of n other than (1^n), $(n-1, 1)$ and $(2, 1^{n-2})$. By Lemma 4.16 there is a prime q such that $q > n - q \geq 5$. We claim that because $\lambda, \lambda^t \neq (n-1, 1)$, we can choose a partition $\mu \subset \lambda$ such that $\mu, \mu^t \neq (|\mu| - 1, 1)$. Hence, invoking Lemma 4.7, this guarantees that s_μ appears in $f_q = H_{(q)}[F]$ for the odd prime q.

Case (a): If μ is of the form $(m-1, 1)$, then since $n - q > n/2 \geq 1$, and we have assumed $\lambda \neq (n-1, 1)$, we know that all the squares in the skew shape λ/μ cannot be in row 1; there is at least one square in the skew-shape that is in row 2 or row 3. Hence we may modify our choice by taking $\mu = (q-2, 2)$ or $\mu = (q-2, 1^2)$, noting that $q-2 \geq 3$. Both shapes satisfy our criteria (the second because $q \neq 4$) and hence the claim.

Case (b): If μ^t is of the form $(m-1, 1)$, then again there must be a square in the skew-shape λ/μ that is in row 2 or row 1; all the squares cannot be at the bottom because that would force $\lambda^t = (n-1, 1)$. Thus we may modify our choice by taking $\mu = (2^2, 1^{q-4})$ or $\mu = (3, 1^{q-3})$. Both shapes satisfy our criteria (the second because $q \geq 5$) and the claim follows.

Now consider the set of partitions ν of $n - q$ such that s_λ appears in the product $s_\mu s_\nu$, and choose such a partition ν.

Case 1: Assume first that neither ν nor ν^t is of the form $(m-1, 1)$, and $\nu \neq (1^m)$. By induction hypothesis there are partitions $\tau(\nu)$ and $\sigma(\nu)$ of even and odd length respectively such that s_ν appears in $H_{\tau(\nu)}[F]$ and $H_{\sigma(\nu)}[F]$. Hence s_λ appears in $H_{(q)\cup\tau(\nu)}[F]$ and in $H_{(q)\cup\sigma(\nu)}[F]$. (Again $q > (n-2)/2$ implies that q is distinct from the parts of $\tau(\nu)$ and $\sigma(\nu)$.) Since the partitions $(q) \cup \tau(\nu)$ and $(q) \cup \sigma(\nu)$ have lengths of opposite parity, we are done.

Case 2: Now suppose $\nu^t = (m-1, 1)$, i.e., $\nu = (2, 1^{m-2})$. From (4) above, since $m = n - q \geq 5$, we can find partitions $\tau(\nu)$ and $\sigma(\nu)$ with lengths of opposite parity such that s_ν appears in both $H_{\tau(\nu)}[F]$ and $H_{\sigma(\nu)}[F]$. Then as before, since s_μ appears in $H_{(q)}[F]$, it follows that s_λ appears in $H_{(q)\cup\tau(\nu)}[F]$ and $H_{(q)\cup\sigma(\nu)}[F]$, and since one part distinct from all the others, namely $q > n - q$, was added to both partitions, again we have partitions with lengths of opposite parity, as claimed.

Case 3: Here we consider the case $\nu = (m-1, 1) = (n-q-1, 1)$. Since $m = n - q \geq 5$, from (3) above we have two partitions τ and σ of opposite parity such that $H_\tau[F]$ and $H_\sigma[F]$ contain s_ν.

Case 4: Suppose $\nu = (1^m)$. Then s_ν appears in $H_\tau[F]$ but only for some τ such that $|\tau| - \ell(\tau) = m - \ell(\tau)$ is even. The argument is therefore more involved. Note first that if s_λ appears in $s_\mu \cdot s_{(1^m)}$ for some μ of q, it must also appear in the product $s_{\mu'} \cdot s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ for some partition μ' of q. (Recall that $m = n - q \geq 5$.)

This follows by observing that $(1^m) \subset \lambda$, and recalling that $\lambda \neq (1^n)$. Since f_q contains all partitions of q **except** $(q-1,1)$ and $(2,1^{q-2})$, the discussion in Case **2** and the preceding cases implies that it suffices to consider the following two sub-cases for the partition μ':

Case 4-1: Assume $\mu' = (q-1,1)$. In this case we know from (3) above that $s_{\mu'}$ appears in $H_{(q-1,1)}[F] = f_{q-1}f_1$, in $H_{(q-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{q-2}h_2[f_1]$, as well as in $H_{(q-2,2)}[F] = f_{q-2}f_2$, the latter because $q-2 > 2$ and f_{q-2} contains h_{q-2}. Now let m be *even*. Then (4(b)) implies that $s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ appears in $H_{(m)}[F] = f_m$ and hence, taking $\tau(\lambda) = (q-2,1^2) \cup (m)$, since $q-2 \neq m$ (q is odd and m is even), we have an even-length partition (into four parts) such that $H_{\tau(\lambda)}[F] = f_{q-2}f_m h_2[f_1]$ contains $s_{\mu'}s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ and hence contains s_λ. Similarly taking $\sigma(\lambda) = (q-2,2) \cup (m)$ produces an odd-length partition (into three distinct parts: $q-2 \neq m$ because q is odd and m is even) such that $H_{\sigma(\lambda)}[F] = f_{q-2}f_2f_m$ contains s_λ.
Next suppose m is *odd*. Then from (4(a)) we know that $s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ appears in $H_{(m-2,2)}[F] = f_{m-2}f_2$ and $H_{(m-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{m-2}h_2[f_1]$. Taking $\tau = (q-2,1^2) \cup (m-2,2)$, and noting that $q-2 > m-2 > 2$, (here we are using the hypothesis about q that $m = n-q \geq 5$) we see that $H_\tau[F]$ contains s_λ and τ has odd length. Similarly noting that $q-1 > m-1 > m-2 > 2$, we see that the even-length partition $\sigma = (q-1,1) \cup (m-2,2)$ has the same property: $H_\sigma[F]$ contains s_λ. This settles the case $\mu' = (q-1,1)$.

Case 4-2: Assume $\mu' = (2,1^{q-2})$.
First let m be *even*. Invoking (4(b)), we see again (note that q is odd and m is even, so $q-2 \neq m$) that the partitions $\tau = (q-2,2) \cup (m)$ and $\sigma = (q-2,1^2) \cup (m)$ have opposite parity and both $H_\tau[F] = f_{q-2}f_2f_m$, $H_\sigma[F] = f_{q-2}h_2[f_1]$ contain $s'_\mu s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ and hence contain s_λ.
Now let m be *odd*. Then we can take $\tau = (q-2,2) \cup (m-2,1^2)$ to obtain an odd-length partition such that $H_\tau[F] = f_{q-2}f_2f_{m-2}h_2[f_1]$ contains $s_{\mu'}s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$. Observe also that since $q-1$ is even,by Lemma 4.7, $s_{\mu'} = s_{(2,1^{q-2})}$ appears in $f_{q-1}h_1 = H_{(q-1,1)}$. Hence since $q-1 > m-1 > m-2$, we may in addition take $\sigma = (q-1,1) \cup (m-2,2)$ for the even length partition such that $H_\sigma[F] = f_{q-1}f_1f_{m-2}f_2$ contains $s_{\mu'}s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$.

This finishes the inductive step, and hence the proof is complete. □

Combining the preceding result with (4.15.1) of Theorem 4.15, we immediately obtain

Corollary 4.18. *As an S_n-module, U_n^+ contains every irreducible of S_n. Equivalently, the partial row sums $\sum_{\substack{\mu \notin DO_n \\ n-\ell(\mu) even}} \chi^\lambda(\mu)$ in the character table of S_n are positive integers.*

We also have the analogous strengthening of Theorem 4.9:

Theorem 4.19. *Every S_n-irreducible appears in the twisted conjugacy action on the subset of even permutations, for $n \geq 5$. Also every S_n-irreducible except the sign, appears in the twisted conjugacy action on the set of odd permutations, for $n \geq 2$.*

Equivalently: For every partition $\lambda \vdash n$, the Schur function s_λ appears

(1) *in the (Schur-positive) symmetric function $ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, A_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu) even}} E_\mu[F]$ for all $n \geq 5$;*

(2) *in the (Schur-positive) symmetric function* $ch\,\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu)odd}} E_\mu[F]$, *except for* $\lambda = (1^n)$, *which never appears when* $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Note that $H_\mu[F]$ and $E_\mu[F]$ coincide when μ is a partition with distinct parts. Thus, in the proof of Theorem 4.17, we need to examine, and possibly modify the argument in the places where the discussion involves partitions with repeated parts.

First, as before, in Table 4 we record the decomposition into irreducibles for $n \leq 12$. Writing simply λ for the S_n-irreducible indexed by the partition λ, Maple computation with SF shows that although the irreducible indexed by (2^2) does *not* appear in $\varepsilon(S_4, A_4)$, for $(12 \geq)\ n \geq 5$ $\varepsilon_n(S_n, A_n)$ contains all irreducibles, while $\varepsilon_n(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ contains all irreducibles except the sign for $(12 \geq)\ n \geq 4$.

Our induction hypothesis will be that for fixed $n \geq 12$, whenever $5 \leq k < n$, (so in particular $\mathbf{k \neq 2,3,4}$), for every partition $\lambda \neq (1^k)$ of k, there are partitions $\tau(\lambda)$ and $\sigma(\lambda)$ of k such that $\ell(\tau(\lambda))$ is even, $\ell(\sigma(\lambda))$ is odd, and s_λ appears in both $E_{\tau(\lambda)}[F]$ and $E_{\sigma(\lambda)}[F]$. When $\lambda = (1^n)$, we will show that it appears only in $E_{\tau(\lambda)}[F]$ for some τ such that $n - \ell(\tau(\lambda))$ is even.

(1) Let $\lambda = (n)$. Then $\tau = (n)$ and $\sigma = (n-1, 1)$ are partitions with lengths of opposite parity such that $s_{(n)}$ appears in $E_\tau[F]$ and $E_\sigma[F]$, for $n \geq 3$. For $n = 2$, we have $E_{(2)}[F] = f_2 = h_2$. Hence (n) appears in $\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ for all $n \geq 2$, and in $\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)$ if $n \geq 3$.

(2) Let $\lambda = (1^n)$. Clearly the sign representation $s_{(1^n)}$ appears in $E_{(1^n)}[F] = e_n[f_1] = e_n$, and $n - \ell((1^n))$ is even, so it appears in $\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)$. More generally, the sign representation cannot appear in $E_\lambda[F]$ if λ has an even part, since for f_n contains the sign only if n is odd. But if all parts of λ are odd, then $n - \ell(\lambda)$ is necessarily even. Hence the sign appears only in $\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)$ and never in $\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ $(n \geq 2)$.

(3) Let $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$. Again for $n \geq 4$, we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (n-1, 1)$ and $\sigma(\lambda) = (n-2, 1^2)$. In the first case $E_{(n-1,1)}[F] = f_{n-1}f_1$ as before, in the second case $E_{(n-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{n-2}e_2[f_1] = f_{n-2}e_2$, which contains $h_{(n-2)}e_2$ and hence contains s_λ. If $n = 3$, $s_{(2,1)}$ appears in $E_\sigma[F]$ only for $\sigma = (2, 1)$. Then $n - \ell(\sigma) = 1$ is odd, and $E_{(2,1)}[F]$ contains $f_2 f_1 = s_{(3)} + s_{(2,1)}$. Hence $(2, 1)$ appears only in $\varepsilon(S_3, S_3 \backslash A_3)$, but for $n \geq 4$, $(n-1, 1)$ appears in both representations.

(4) Let $\lambda = (2, 1^{n-2})$. Since f_r contains the sign representation if and only if r is odd, it follows that
   (a) If n is odd, we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (n-2, 2), n-2 > 2$ (because then $E_\tau[F] = f_{n-2}h_2$ which contains $e_{n-2}h_2$) and $\sigma(\tau) = (n-2, 1^2), n \geq 4$ (because $E_\sigma[F] = f_{n-2}e_2$ which now contains $e_{n-2}e_2$, which also contains $s_{(2,1^{n-2})}$). (The case $n = 3$ has been addressed above.);
   (b) If n is even, we may take $\tau(\lambda) = (n-1, 1)$ and $\sigma(\lambda) = (n)$ for $n \geq 2$; because the sign appears in f_{n-1} and, by Lemma 4.7, $s_{(2,1^{n-2})}$ appears in $E_{(n)}[F] = f_n$ whenever n is even, in which case $n - \ell((n))$ is odd.

   Hence $(2, 1^{n-2})$ appears in $\psi(S_n, A_n)$ if $n \geq 4$, and in $\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ if $n \geq 3$.

We claim that the rest of the proof of Theorem 4.17 applies almost verbatim, with all H's replaced by E's. Our first observation is that $H_\mu[F] = E_\mu[F]$ when μ has distinct parts. Consequently, it is the two subcases of **Case 4** that require additional scrutiny. In both these cases, the analysis involves partitions in which the part equal to 1 occurs with multiplicity 2. For **Case 4-1**, the claim that needs to be verified is

that $s_{\mu'} = s_{(q-1,1)}$ appears in $E_{q-2,1^2}[F]$, which is now equal to $f_{q-2}e_2[h_1] = f_{q-2}e_2$. But this is clear since f_{q-2} contains h_{q-2}. When m is odd, we need to check that $s_{(2,1^{m-2})}$ appears in $E_{(m-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{m-2}e_2$, but this has already been verified in (4(a)) above. Similarly, in **Case 4-2**, we need to verify the claim that $s_{\mu'} = s_{(2,1^{q-2})}$ appears in $E_{(q-2,1^2)}[F] = f_{q-2}e_2$. Once again, since q is odd, this has already been established in (4(a)) above.

The remaining parts of the proof of Theorem 4.17 pose no difficulty in the passage from $H[F]$ to $E[F]$, and our proof is complete. □

Remark 4.20. By a similar combination of number-theoretic and combinatorial arguments, and careful analysis of Ferrers diagrams, we can show that there is a single conjugacy class with the property that the conjugacy action of S_n on this class contains every S_n-irreducible. This is also true for the twisted conjugacy action. The proof will appear elsewhere.

From the Frobenius characteristic one can extract, by routine plethystic computations as in [21], more precise information about the multiplicities of other irreducibles in $\psi(S_n)$. We state without proof:

Proposition 4.21. *The multiplicity in $\psi(S_n)$*

(1) *of the trivial representation is $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n;*
(2) *of the sign representation is $|Par_n^{\neq} \cap Par_n^{odd}|$, the number of partitions of n all of whose parts are distinct and odd.*
(3) *of the irreducible indexed by $(n-1,1)$ is $\sum_{\lambda \vdash n}(|\{i : m_i(\lambda) \geq 1\}| - 1)$.*
(4) *of the irreducible indexed by $(2,1^{n-2})$ is*
$\sum_{\lambda \in Par_n^{\neq} \cap Par_n^{odd}}(\ell(\lambda) - 1)$
$+ \sum(|\{i : m_i(\lambda) \geq 1\}|)$, *where the second sum runs over the set of partitions $\lambda \in Par_n^{odd} : m_i(\lambda) \leq 2, m_j(\lambda) = 2$ for exactly one part j.*

Proposition 4.22. *The trivial representation and the sign representation each occur in $\varepsilon(S_n)$ as many times as there are partitions of n with all parts odd, which is the same as the number of partitions of n with distinct parts. The irreducible indexed by $(n-1,1)$ (as well as the irreducible indexed by $(2,1^{n-2})$) occurs in $\varepsilon(S_n)$ with multiplicity*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in Par_n^{\neq}}(\ell(\lambda) - 1) + |\{\mu \vdash n : m_i(\mu) \leq 2 \ \forall i, m_j(\mu) = 2 \text{ for exactly one } j\}|.$$

We end this section by considering one more class of representations. For any fixed $k \geq 2$, let $W_{n,k} = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n, \lambda_i = 1 \text{ or } k} p_\lambda$. We have

Theorem 4.23. *$W_{n,k}$ is Schur-positive.*

Proof. Clearly $W_{n,k} = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor} p_k^r p_1^{n-kr}$. Two observations are immediate:

- $W_{mk,k}$ is certainly an integer linear combination of Schur functions, and
- if $n = mk + t$ with $0 \leq t \leq k-1$, then $W_{n,k} = p_1^t W_{mk,k}$.

Thus it suffices to consider the case $n = mk$. Define $\alpha_k = p_1^k - p_k, \beta_k = p_1^k + p_k$. Using the fact that p_k is an alternating sum of Schur functions indexed by hooks, i.e., $p_k = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1}(-1)^r s_{(k-r,1^r)}$, we see that α_k and β_k are both Schur-positive, since $f^\nu \geq 1$ for any partition ν.

We have $p_1^k = \frac{\beta_k + \alpha_k}{2}$, $p_k = \frac{\beta_k + \alpha_k}{2}$. As in Proposition 4.22, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{mk,k} &= \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_k^i p_1^{km-ki} = \frac{(p_1^k)^{m+1} - p_k^{m+1}}{p_1^k - p_k} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_k}\left(\left(\frac{\beta_k+\alpha_k}{2}\right)^{m+1} - \left(\frac{\beta_k-\alpha_k}{2}\right)^{m+1}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}\alpha_k}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{i}\beta_k^{m+1-i}\alpha_k^i - \sum_{j=0}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{j}\beta_k^{m+1-j}\alpha_k^j(-1)^j\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}\alpha_k}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{j}2\beta_k^{m+1-j}\alpha_k^j = \frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j \text{ odd}}}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{j}\beta_k^{m+1-j}\alpha_k^{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $W_{mk,k}$ is a linear combination of Schur functions with coefficients that are nonnegative and rational. But we already know that the coefficients must be integers, and hence $W_{mk,k}$ must be Schur-positive. □

The special case $k = 2$ is worth mentioning. Here we have $W_{2n+1,2} = p_1 W_{2n,2}$ and $W_{2n,2} = \sum_{j \text{ odd}} \binom{n+1}{j} h_2^{n+1-j} e_2^{j-1}$, because $\alpha_2 = 2e_2$ and $\beta_2 = 2h_2$.

5. The Lie and Foulkes characters

In this section we will consider the more general characters obtained by inducing any nth root of unity from a cyclic subgroup C_n of order n up to S_n. For fixed $k \geq 1$, Foulkes showed [3] that the Frobenius characteristic of the induced representation $(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{n}})^k \uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$ is given by $f_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \psi_k(d) p_d^{n/d}$ as in Section 3, with

$$\psi_k(d) = \phi(d)\frac{\mu(\frac{d}{(d,k)})}{\phi(\frac{d}{(d,k)})}, \tag{5.0.1}$$

where (d,k) denotes the greatest common divisor of d and k as usual. The case $k = n$ (or $k = 0$) corresponds to the conjugation action of Section 4.

Now let $k = 1$. Then $\psi_1(d) = \mu(d)$, where μ is the number-theoretic Möbius function, and (see [15], [19]) $f_n = ch((e^{\frac{2i\pi}{n}}) \uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n})$ is the Frobenius characteristic of the representation Lie_n of S_n on the multilinear component of the free Lie algebra on n generators, while $f_n(t)$ is the dimension of the nth graded piece of the free Lie algebra on t generators. Also ([19]) $\pi_n = \omega Lie_n$ is the S_n-representation on the unique nonvanishing homology of the partition lattice Π_n. Let $\pi^{alt} = \sum_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{i-1}\pi_i$. Part (2) below was observed by direct calculation in [7].

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

(1) $f_n(1) = 0$ *unless* $n = 1$, *in which case it is 1.*
(2) $f_n(-1) = (-1)\delta_{n,1} + (1)\delta_{n,2}$; *i.e.,* $f_1(-1) = -1$, $f_2(-1) = 1$, *and* $f_n(-1)$ *equals zero otherwise.*

Proof. Part (1) is a standard property of the Möbius function. Part (2) follows from Lemma 3.3 applied to $\psi(d) = \mu(d)$, the Möbius function, since if n is even, $f_{2n}(1) = 0$, and $f_{n/2}(1)$ is nonzero if and only if $n = 2$, in which case it is 1. □

By applying Theorem 3.2 with $v = 1$ to this class of functions f_n, we immediately obtain the generating functions below. The first generating function in Corollary 5.2 is classical; it is essentially the statement of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, see [15] for an exhaustive literature. Part (2) is Cadogan's formula ([1], [6], ([20], solution to Problem 7.88 for the calculation in this context)). It also has a topological connection, arising as a consequence of the Whitney homology of the partition lattice ([21], [22]). Part (3) appears in a recent paper ([7]),where the authors derive it by direct calculation for the special case of the Lie character.

Corollary 5.2. *We have the following plethystic generating functions:*

(1) *(PBW)* $H[L(t)] = \dfrac{1}{(1 - th_1)}$

(2) *(Cadogan)* $H[\pi^{alt}(t)] = 1 + th_1 = \pi^{alt}(t)[H]$.

(3) *([7])* $E[L(t)] = \prod_{j\geq 1}(1 - t^j p_j)^{f_j(-1)} = \dfrac{1 - t^2 p_2}{1 - tp_1}$

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.2, (1) to (3), and the calculation recorded in Part (1) of Lemma 6.1. □

Contrast the next observation with Theorem 4.9 of the preceding section regarding the exterior powers of the conjugation action, in which every irreducible appears.

Corollary 5.3. *The degree n term in $H[L]$ is the regular representation, and thus contains every irreducible of S_n. The degree n term in $E[L]$ is $2p_1^{n-2}e_2$, a representation of degree $n!$ which contains every irreducible except the trivial representation.*

Proof. A simple calculation shows that the degree n term of $E[L(t)]$ is $2p_1^{n-2}e_2$, and hence the result. □

The fourth consequence of Theorem 3.2 is of special importance; we will apply it to the results of the previous section. We have

Proposition 5.4. $E[\pi^{alt}(t)] = \prod_{j\geq 1}(1 + t^j p_j)^{-f_j(-1)} = \dfrac{1 + tp_1}{1 + t^2 p_2}$

Proof. Combine Theorem 3.2, (4) and Part (2) of Lemma 6.1. □

Now suppose $F = \sum_{n\geq 1} f_n$ and $G = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} g_n$ are formal series of symmetric functions, as usual with f_n, g_n being of homogeneous degree n.

Lemma 5.5.

$$H[F] = G \iff E[F] = \frac{G}{G[p_2]} = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)[G].$$

The exterior power of the series G may also be obtained as the symmetric power of another series, namely, the plethysm

$$H[\frac{F}{F[p_2]}] = E[F]$$

Proof. Cadogan's formula says that π^{alt} is the plethystic inverse of $(H-1)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&(H-1)[F]=G-1 \iff F=\pi^{alt}[G-1]\\ \iff & E[F]=(E[\pi^{alt}])[G-1]=\frac{1+p_1}{1+p_2}[G-1]=\frac{(1+p_1)[G-1]}{(1+p_2)[G-1]}=\frac{1+(G-1)}{1+(G-1)[p_2]}\\ &=\frac{G}{G[p_2]}=\frac{G[p_1]}{G[p_2]}=\frac{p_1[G]}{p_2[G]}\end{aligned}$$

Now substitute $G=H[F]$ in the right-hand side above. Since plethysm is associative and commutes with power-sums, we have

$$\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)[G]=\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)[H[F]]=\left(\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)[H]\right)[F]=\left(H[\frac{p_1}{p_2}]\right)[F]=H[\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right)[F]],$$

which establishes the claim. □

Thus in general, when F is a series of Schur-positive symmetric functions, so that $H[F]=G$ is also Schur-positive, there is another series $F/F[p_2]$ whose symmetric powers equal G. We note however that $F/F[p_2]$ is not always Schur-positive. However we clearly have

Corollary 5.6. *If F and $G=H[F]$ are Schur-positive, then so is $G/G[p_2]$.*

Note that Part (3) of Corollary 5.2 now follows as a consequence of Lemma 5.4.

We move on to the case of general k and the function $\psi_k(d)$ given by equation (5.0.1). Following Hardy and Wright ([9], pp. 55-56) we define Ramanujan's sum

$$c_d(k)=\sum_{\substack{1\le h\le d\\(h,d)=1}}\exp(2i\pi hk/d)=\sum_{\rho \text{ a primitive } d\text{th root of unity}}\rho^k.$$

Then we have, with the notation of equation (5.0.1), the following remarkable formula of Hölder:

Theorem 5.7. *([5], see also [9], Theorems 271 and 272 for proofs)*

$$c_d(k)=\phi(d)\frac{\mu(\frac{d}{(d,k)})}{\phi(\frac{d}{(d,k)})}=\psi_k(d).$$

Hence, when f_n is the Frobenius characteristic of the general Foulkes character $(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{n}})^k\uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$, we obtain the following result. (Note the agreement with Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1 for the cases $k=n$ and $k=1$ respectively.) Part (1) below is originally due to von Sterneck [23].

Lemma 5.8. *For fixed $k\ge 1$,*

(1) *([23])* $f_n(1)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } n|k;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$

(2) $f_n(-1)=\begin{cases}-1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n|k;\\ 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \frac{n}{2}|k \text{ but } n\not|k;\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$

Proof. We have

$$f_n(1) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} c_d(k) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \text{ a primitive} \\ d\text{th root of unity}}} \rho^k = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\tau} \tau^k$$

where the last sum ranges over all the nth roots of unity, since every nth root of unity is a primitive dth root of unity for a unique divisor d of n. The result now follows because the nth roots of unity are the zeros of $(1-x^n)$, and if k is not a multiple of n, then the kth powers of the nth roots are simply a rearrangement of the (n,k)th roots, each occurring $\frac{n}{(n,k)}$ times.

Applying Lemma 3.3 to

$$f_n(-1) = \tfrac{1}{n}\textstyle\sum_{d|n} c_d(k)(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}},$$

we immediately obtain the second statement. □

Theorem 5.9. *Fix $k \geq 1$. Let f_n be the Frobenius characteristic of the representation $\exp(2i\pi k/n)\uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$, and let $F(t) = \sum_{n\geq 1} t^n f_n$. Then*

(1) *(Symmetric powers)*

$$H[F(t)] = \prod_{n\geq 1, n|k} (1-t^n p_n)^{-1} \tag{5.9.1}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n} H_\mu[F] = \sum_{\lambda\in Par_n, \lambda_i|k} p_\lambda. \tag{5.9.2}$$

(2) *(Exterior powers)*

$$E[F(t)] = \prod_{n\geq 1,(2n-1)|k} (1-t^{2n-1}p_{2n-1})^{-1} \prod_{n\geq 1, n|k, (2n)\not|k} (1-t^{2n}p_{2n}), \tag{5.9.3}$$

and hence

$$\omega(E[F(t)]) = \prod_{n\geq 1,(2n-1)|k} (1-t^{2n-1}p_{2n-1})^{-1} \prod_{n\geq 1, n|k, (2n)\not|k} (1+t^{2n}p_{2n}) \tag{5.9.4}$$

and

$$\sum_{\mu\vdash n} \omega(E_\mu[F]) = \sum p_\lambda, \tag{5.9.5}$$

where the last sum ranges over all partitions λ of n such that the odd parts are factors of k, the even parts occur at most once, and do not divide k, but half of each even part is a factor of k.

(3) *(Alternating exterior powers)*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)}\omega(E_\lambda[F])$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{\lambda|} H_\lambda[\omega(F)^{alt}] = H[\omega(F)^{alt}(t)] = \prod_{n\geq 1, n|k} (1+t^n p_n). \tag{5.9.6}$$

(4) *(Alternating symmetric powers)*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)}\omega(H_\lambda[F]) = \sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|}E_\lambda[\omega(F)^{alt}]$$

$$= E[\omega(F)^{alt}(t)] = \prod_{n\geq 1,(2n-1)|k}(1+t^{2n-1}p_{2n-1}) \prod_{n\geq 1,n|k,(2n)\not|k}(1+t^{2n}p_{2n})^{-1}, \tag{5.9.7}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\lambda\in Par} t^{|\lambda|}(-1)^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)}H_\lambda[F] = \prod_{n\geq 1,(2n-1)|k}(1+t^{2n-1}p_{2n-1}) \prod_{n\geq 1,n|k,(2n)\not|k}(1-t^{2n}p_{2n})^{-1}, \tag{5.9.8}$$

a multiplicity-free nonnegative integer combination of power-sums.

Proof. All parts follow from the corresponding parts of Theorem 3.2. Parts (1) and (3) use the calculation of $f_n(1)$ in Lemma 5.7; Parts (2) and (4) use the value of $f_n(-1)$ from Lemma 5.7. Equation (5.9.8) follows by applying the involution ω to Equation (5.9.7). Note that the right-hand side of (5.9.8) is now a nonnegative linear combination of power-sums. □

By combining (5.9.1) and (5.9.8) as in Theorem 3.4, we obtain more linear combinations of power-sums that are Schur-positive. The same statement applies to adding and subtracting (5.9.5) and (5.9.6).

Note also that when k is prime, equation (5.9.1) coincides with the symmetric function $W_{n,k}$ of Theorem 4.23, thereby providing a concrete realisation of the representation. In particular when $k = 2$ we obtain, for the symmetric function $W_{n,2}$ which is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in the power-sums p_1 and p_2 :

Corollary 5.10. *Let f_n be the Frobenius characteristic of the representation* $\exp(4\pi i/n)\uparrow_{C_n}^{S_n}$ *. Then*

$$W_{n,2} = \textstyle\sum_{\mu\vdash n} H_\mu[F],$$
$$(1+p_1)(1-p_4)^{-1}|_{deg\ n} = g_n = \textstyle\sum_{\mu\vdash n}(-1)^{n-\ell(\mu)}H_\mu[F],$$

and the following polynomials in the power-sums p_1, p_2 and p_4 are Schur-positive:

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(W_{n,2}+g_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ even}} H_\mu[F]; \tag{5.10.1}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(W_{n,2}-g_n) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n\\ n-\ell(\mu)\ odd}} H_\mu[F]. \tag{5.10.2}$$

A similar statement can be made for the case of odd prime k.

6. The alternating group

In this section we examine yet another representation of S_n with the same degree as the regular representation. Consider the alternating group A_n operating on itself by conjugation, a representation of degree $n!/2$ which we denote by $\psi(A_n)$. When induced up to S_n it gives a representation $\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$ of degree $n!$. Before we prove our next result, we investigate this situation in more generality.

Proposition 6.1. *Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup of G of index 2 (hence normal). Fix $g_0 \notin H$, so that $G = H \cup g_0H$ is a disjoint union of left cosets. Let $\psi(H)$ denote the action of H on itself by conjugation, and consider the G-dimensional*

induced G-module $\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G$. *Let* $\chi_C(H)$ *denote the character of* $\psi(H)$, *and let* $Z(\sigma)$ *be the centraliser of* σ *in* G. *Then we have*

(1) *For* $\sigma \in H$, $\chi_C(H)(\sigma) = |H \cap Z(\sigma)|$
(2) *For* $\tau \in G$, $\chi_C(H)\uparrow_H^G(\tau)$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \tau \notin H; \\ 2\chi_C(H)(\tau) & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Part (1) is a consequence of the easy observation that the trace of σ acting by conjugation on H is the number of $h \in H$ such that $\sigma h = h\sigma$.

For Part (2), we use the standard formula for the character of an induced representation. This gives

$$\chi_C(H)\uparrow_H^G(\tau) = \chi_C(H)(\tau)\delta_{\tau\in H} + \chi_C(H)(g_0\tau g_0^{-1})\delta_{g_0\tau g_0^{-1}\in H},$$

where we are writing $\delta_P = 1$ if statement P is true, and 0 otherwise.

Since H is normal in G, $\tau \in H \iff g_0\tau g_0^{-1} \in H$. Hence the induced character has value

$$\chi_C(H)\uparrow_H^G(\tau)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau \notin H \\ 2|H \cap Z(\tau)|, & \text{if } \tau \in H \text{ and } g_0\tau g_0^{-1} \text{ is conjugate to } \tau \text{ in } H, \\ |H \cap Z(\tau)| + |H \cap Z(g_0\tau g_0^{-1})|, & \text{if } \tau \in H \text{ and } g_0\tau g_0^{-1} \text{ is NOT conjugate to } \tau \text{ in } H \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \tau \notin H, \\ 2\chi_C(H)(\tau), & \tau \in H \text{ and } g_0\tau g_0^{-1} = h\tau h^{-1} \text{ for some } h \in H; \\ \chi_C(H)(\tau) + \chi_C(H)(g_0\tau g_0^{-1}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally note that $Z(\sigma) \cap H = Z(g_0\sigma g_0^{-1}) \cap H$, because $x \in Z(\sigma) \iff g_0 x g_0^{-1} \in Z(g_0\sigma g_0^{-1})$ and $x \in H \iff g_0 x g_0^{-1} \in H$. This implies that $|H \cap Z(\tau)| + |H \cap Z(g_0\tau g_0^{-1})| = 2|H \cap Z(\tau)|$ if $\tau \in H$. The result follows. □

Proposition 6.2. *Let* G *be a finite group and* H *a subgroup of* G *of index 2 (hence normal). Fix* $g_0 \notin H$, *so that* $G = H \cup g_0H$ *is a disjoint union of left cosets. Consider the action* $\psi(G)$ *of* G *on itself by conjugation. Then*

(1) H *and* g_0H *are disjoint orbits for this action.*
(2) *Hence if* $\psi(G,H), \psi(G,g_0H)$ *denote respectively the actions of* G *by conjugation on* H *and* g_0H, *we have*
$$\psi(G) = \psi(G,H) \oplus \psi(G,g_0H).$$
(3) *The character values of* $\psi(G,H)$ *and* $\psi(G,g_0H)$; *on* $\tau \in G$ *are equal to* $|Z(\tau)\cap H|$ *and* $|Z(\tau) \cap g_0H|$ *respectively.*
(4) *Let* ω_H *denote the one-dimensional representation of* G *induced by the unique nontrivial one-dimensional representation of the quotient group* G/H *(which is cyclic of order 2), with character* $\chi_{\omega_H}(g)$
$$= \begin{cases} 1, & g \in H; \\ -1, & g \notin H \iff g \in g_0H. \end{cases}$$
Then
$$\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G = \psi(G,H) \oplus \omega_H \otimes \psi(G,H),$$
and hence $\omega_H \otimes \psi(H)\uparrow_H^G = \psi(H)\uparrow_H^G$.

(5) *$\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G$ is a G-submodule of $\psi(G)\oplus\omega_H\otimes\psi(G)$. In fact if we define U_G^+ to be $(\psi(G)\oplus\omega_H\otimes\psi(G))/\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G$, then we have*

$$U_G^+ = \psi(G, g_0H)\oplus\omega_H\otimes\psi(G, g_0H),$$

and hence $\omega_H\otimes U_G^+ = U_G^+$.

(6) *Define U_G^* to be the virtual module $\psi(G,H)-\psi(G,g_0H)$. Then*

$$2\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G = (\psi(G)+\omega_H\otimes\psi(G)) + (U_G^* + \omega_H\otimes U_G^*).$$

(7)

$$\psi(H)\uparrow_H^G = U_G^+ + (U_G^* + \omega_H\otimes U_G^*).$$

(8)

$$U_G^+ + U_G^* = \psi(G,H) + \omega_H\otimes\psi(G,g_0H).$$

Proof. For (1)and (2): this is clear, since H is normal in G and $gxg^{-1}\in H \iff x\in g^{-1}Hg = H$, and hence $g(g_0h)g^{-1}\in g_0H$ for all $h\in H$.

For (3): Let $\tau\in G$. We compute the trace of τ acting on H by conjugation:

$$\text{tr }(\tau|_H) = |\{h\in H : \tau h\tau^{-1} = h\}| = |\{h\in H : \tau h = h\tau\}| = |Z(\tau)\cap H|$$

and similarly for τ acting on g_0H by conjugation: tr $\tau|_{g_0H} = |Z(\tau)\cap g_0H|$.

For (4): From the trace of τ acting on H it follows that the character value of τ on the representation $\psi(G,H)\oplus(\omega_H\otimes\psi(G,H))$ equals $(1+\chi(\omega_H)(\tau))\cdot|Z(\tau)\cap H|$ which in turn equals

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \tau\notin H, \text{ since then } \chi(\omega_H)(\tau) = -1 \\ 2|Z(\tau)\cap H|, & \textit{else.}\end{cases}$$

The second statement follows since $\omega_H\otimes\omega_H$ is the trivial representation of G.

For (5): This follows immediately from (2) and (4).

The statement in (6) is immediate upon substituting from (2) and (4), since $\psi(G)+U_G^* = 2\psi(G,H)$, with the analogous result when we tensor with ω_H. Statement (7) follows by using the definition of U_G^+, and (8) follows from (5). □

Now we apply this to the alternating subgroup A_n of S_n. For σ in S_n, if σ has cycle-type λ and λ has m_i parts equal to i, recall that $z_\lambda = \prod_{i\geq1} i^{m_i}(m_i!)$ is the order of the centraliser of σ.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $\sigma\in S_n$ with cycle-type given by a partition λ of n, $|Z(\sigma)\cap A_n|$*

$$= \begin{cases} |Z(\sigma)| = z_\lambda, & \sigma\in A_n \textit{ and all parts of } \lambda \textit{ are odd and distinct}\ ; \\ \frac{1}{2}z_\lambda, & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. It is well-known that for any subgroup K of S_n, either $K\subset A_n$ or exactly half the permutations of K are even. Hence for any $\sigma\in S_n$ with cycle-type given by a partition λ of n, $|Z(\sigma)\cap A_n|$

$$= \begin{cases} |Z(\sigma)| = z_\lambda, & \text{if } Z(\sigma)\subset A_n \\ \frac{1}{2}z_\lambda, & \text{otherwise, i.e., if } Z(\sigma) \text{ contains an odd permutation}\end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma\in S_n$ be of cycle-type $\lambda\vdash n$. We claim that the centraliser $Z(\sigma)$ contains an odd permutation if and only if some part i is even, or all parts i are odd but some odd part is repeated. But the natural embedding of $S_a[S_b]$ in S_{ab} (e.g., [10]) shows that there are odd permutations in the wreath product subgroup $S_a[S_b]$ if and only if b is

odd and $a \geq 2$, or b is even. Since $Z(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\times_i S_{m_i}[S_i]$, the conclusion follows. $\square$

Hence we have:

Theorem 6.4. *$\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$ is a self-conjugate representation of S_n of degree $n!$ with Frobenius characteristic*

$$2\sum_{\lambda\in DO_n} p_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{\mu\notin DO_n \\ n-\ell(\mu) even}} p_\mu.$$

We also have

$$\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} = \psi(S_n, A_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n, A_n)).$$

For $n \neq 3$, $\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$ has the property that every S_n-irreducible appears in its irreducible decomposition. For $n = 3$, $ch(\psi(A_3)\uparrow_{A_3}^{S_3}) = 3(h_3 + e_3)$.

Proof. Apply the Frobenius characteristic map to the character χ of $\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$:

$$ch(\chi) = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda \chi(\lambda).$$

Note that λ is the cycle-type of an even permutation if and only if $\sum_i (i-1)m_i(\lambda) = n - \ell(\lambda)$ is even.

Hence from Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, $\chi(\lambda)$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd;} \\ 2z_\lambda & \text{if all parts of } \lambda \text{ are odd and distinct ;} \\ z_\lambda & \text{otherwise, with } n-\ell(\lambda) \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

and the theorem follows.

The penultimate statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 6.2 (4), and hence, by Theorem 4.17, the last statement follows as well. $\square$

Proposition 6.5. *We have*

(6.5.1)

$$\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} = \psi(S_n, A_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n, A_n)) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu)\, even}} H_\mu[F] + \sum_{\substack{\mu\vdash n \\ n-\ell(\mu)\, even}} \omega(H_\mu[F])$$

(6.5.2)

$$\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} = U_n^+ + 2U_n^{DO}$$

(6.5.3)

$$2\psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} = \psi(S_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n)) + 2U_n^{DO}$$

(6.5.4)

$$U_n^+ = (\psi(S_n) + \omega(\psi(S_n))) - \psi(A_n)\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$$

Proof. We use Proposition 4.13. Equation (6.5.1) follows from Theorem 6.4. Equation (6.5.2) is just a matter of putting together equations (4.13.5) and (4.13.2) of Proposition 4.13, and equation (6.5.1) above. (6.5.3) is simply (6) of Proposition 6.2, coupled with the fact that U_n^{DO} is self-conjugate (equation (4.13.1)).

Finally, Equation (6.5.4) follows by eliminating $\psi(A_n)\uparrow^{S_n}$ from equations (6.5.3) and (6.5.2), or directly from Proposition 6.2 (5)-(7). $\square$

The irreducible representations of A_n are determined essentially from Clifford theory for the special case of a finite group G with a subgroup H of index 2. The results are summarised below, although we have not been able to find an explicit reference.

Theorem 6.6. *(see* [4]*, Proposition 5.1) Let G be a finite group with a subgroup H of index 2. Let ω_H be the linear character induced by G/H as in Proposition 6.2. Let $Irr(G)$ (respectively $Irr(H)$) denote the irreducible characters of G (respectively H). Let $\chi \in Irr(G)$. Then*

1. *If $\chi(g) \neq 0$ for some $g \notin H$, then χ and $\omega_H \cdot \chi \in Irr(G)$, and $\chi\downarrow_H = \omega_H \cdot \chi\downarrow_H$ is also an irreducible for H.*
2. *If $\chi(g) = 0$ for all $g \notin H$, then $\chi\downarrow_H$ is a sum of two irreducible characters χ^+ and χ^- in H.*
3. *All irreducibles of H arise in this manner.*
4. *If $\psi \in Irr(H)$, there exists $\chi \in Irr(G)$ such that ψ is an irreducible component of $\chi\downarrow_H$, or equivalently, such that χ is an irreducible component of $\psi\uparrow^G$. In this case either $\chi\downarrow_H$ is irreducible so it equals ψ, or $\chi\downarrow_H$ splits into two irreducibles, and then ψ is either χ^+ or χ^-.*
5. *Let W be any representation of H. Suppose W decomposes into H-irreducibles as*

$$W = \sum_{\substack{\chi \in Irr(G) \\ \chi(g)\neq 0 \text{ for some } g\notin H}} c_\chi \chi + \sum_{\substack{\chi \in Irr(G) \\ \chi(g)= 0 \text{ for all } g\notin H}} d_+(\chi^+) + d_-(\chi^-),$$

where in the first sum we take exactly one of χ, $\omega_H \cdot \chi$. Then $W\uparrow_H^G$ decomposes into G irreducibles as

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \in Irr(G) \\ \chi(g)\neq 0 \text{ for some } g\notin H}} c_\chi(\chi + \omega_H \cdot \chi) + \sum_{\substack{\chi \in Irr(G) \\ \chi(g)= 0 \text{ for all } g\notin H}} (d_+ + d_-)\chi,$$

where in the first sum we take exactly one of χ, $\omega_H \cdot \chi$.

Corollary 6.7. *Let G be a finite group with a subgroup H of index 2. Let W be a representation of H. Then W contains every irreducible of H if and only if the induced representation $W\uparrow_H^G$ contains every irreducible of G.*

Proof. Immediate from (5) of the preceding Theorem 6.5. □

In the special case of the alternating group we immediately obtain, from Theorem 6.4 and Corollary 6.6, the following known result:

Corollary 6.8. *The conjugacy action of A_n on itself contains every (A_n-)irreducible for $n \neq 3$.*

The preceding corollary is also a consequence of the following deep result of Heide, Saxl, Tiep and Zalesski:

Theorem 6.9. *(*[8]*, Corollary 1.4) If G is a finite nonabelian simple group, then every G-irreducible appears in the conjugation action of G on itself.*

Hence we have

Theorem 6.10. *If G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of index 2 which is nonabelian and simple, then the conjugacy action of H on itself, when induced up to G, contains every G-irreducible.*

We conclude with some natural questions raised by this section, in analogy with the results established for the symmetric group.

Question 6.11. Given a group G with a subgroup H of index 2, we know (Proposition 6.2) that $\psi(G)$ decomposes into a direct sum $\psi(G,H) \oplus \psi(G, g_0H)$. If $\psi(G)$ contains every G-irreducible, is this also true for the submodules $\psi(G,H)$, $\psi(G, g_0H)$ (the analogues of S_n acting by conjugation on the subsets of even and odd permutations), and the representations U_G^+ and $U_G^+ \oplus U_G^*$ defined in Proposition 6.2?

Table 1: Decomposition into irreducibles of conjugacy action of S_n

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
λ																
(n)	1	2	3	5	7	11	15	22	30	42	56	77	101	135	176	231
$(n-1,1)$		0	1	2	5	8	15	23	37	55						
$(n-2,2)$			1	3	6	15	26	49	79	131						
$(n-2,1^2)$				2	5	10	19	33	57	91						
				1	4	4	18	39	87	157						
					3	13	36	78	154	284						
					1	10	19	44	82	148						
						8	18	25	64	165						
						5	22	70	188	424						
						4	28	67	152	327						
						1	7	81	201	427						
							12	34	75	158						
							10	35	95	52						
							5	53	168	345						
							1	58	207	497						
								52	203	614						
								17	169	546						
								19	52	447						
								19	41	124						
								17	144	284						
								5	104	283						
								2	81	200						
									130	721						
									84	482						
									23	305						
									34	492						
									39	311						
									21	72						
									7	194						
									2	208						
										387						
										177						
										241						
										258						
										128						
										33						
										46						
										65						
										63						
										25						
										9						
										2						

Table 2: Decomposition into irreducibles of twisted conjugacy action of S_n

n / λ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
(n)	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	8	10						
$(n-1,1)$		1	1	3	4	6	9	13	17	23						
$(n-2,2)$			2	1	4	8	14	23	36	53						
$(n-2,1^2)$				3	7	12	19	29	44	63						
				2	4	6	14	29	55	92						
					4	13	33	65	114	193						
					3	12	23	42	72	115						
						6	19	13	39	93						
						8	19	66	160	329						
						6	33	53	121	236						
						4	19	89	196	382						
							14	42	83	156						
							14	37	82	41						
							9	53	153	280						
							5	66	208	433						
								65	208	566						
								29	196	525						
								13	72	473						
								29	43	156						
								23	153	237						
								13	82	289						
								6	121	196						
									160	721						
									114	525						
									44	289						
									39	566						
									55	382						
									36	115						
									17	196						
									8	237						
										433						
										236						
										280						
										329						
										193						
										63						
										41						
										93						
										92						
										53						
										23						
										10						

Table 3: Irreducible decomposition of $\psi(S_n, A_n)$ and $\psi(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$, $2 \leq n \leq 12$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(S_2, A_2) \quad &= (2) = \psi(S_2, \bar{A}_2)\\
\psi(S_3, A_3) \quad &= 2(3) + (1^3); \quad \psi(S_3, \bar{A}_3) = (3) + (2,1)\\
\psi(S_4, A_4) \quad &= 3(4) + (3,1) + (2^2) + (2,1^2) + (1^4),\\
\psi(S_4, \bar{A}_4) \quad &= 2(4) + (3,1) + 2(2^2) + (2,1^2);\\
\psi(S_5, A_5) \quad &= 4(5) + 2(4,1) + 3(3,2) + 3(3,1^2) + 2(2^2,1) + (2,1^3) + (1^5),\\
\psi(S_5, \bar{A}_5) \quad &= 3(5) + 3(4,1) + 3(3,2) + 2(3,1^2) + 2(2^2,1) + 2(2,1^3);\\
\psi(S_6, A_6) \quad &= 6(6) + 4(5,1) + 7(4,2) + 5(4,1^2) + 2(3^2) + 7(3,2,1) + 5(3,1^3) + 4(2^3) + 2(2^2,1^2) + 2(2,1^4) + (1^6),\\
\psi(S_6, \bar{A}_6) \quad &= 5(6) + 4(5,1) + 8(4,2) + 5(4,1^2) + 2(3^2) + 6(3,2,1) + 5(3,1^3) + 4(2^3) + 3(2^2,1^2) + 2(2,1^4).\\
\psi(S_7, A_7) \quad &= 8(7) + 7(6,1) + 13(5,2) + 10(5,1^2) + 9(4,3) + 18(4,2,1) + 9(4,1^3)\\
&\quad +9(3^2,1) + 11(3,2^2) + 14(3,2,1^2) + 4(3,1^4) + 6(2^3,1) + 5(2^2,1^3) + 2(2,1^5) + (1^7),\\
\psi(S_7, \bar{A}_7) \quad &= 7(7) + 8(6,1) + 13(5,2) + 9(5,1^2) + 9(4,3) + 18(4,2,1) + 10(4,1^3)\\
&\quad +9(3^2,1) + 11(3,2^2) + 14(3,2,1^2) + 3(3,1^4) + 6(2^3,1) + 5(2^2,1^3) + 3(2,1^5);\\
\psi(S_8, A_8) \quad &= 12(8) + 11(7,1) + 24(6,2) + 17(6,1^2) + 20(5,3) + 39(5,2,1) + 22(5,1^3) + 12(4^2) + 35(4,3,1)\\
&\quad +34(4,2^2) + 40(4,2,1^2) + 17(4,1^4) + 17(3^2,2) + 27(3^2,1^2) + 29(3,2^2,1) + 26(3,2,1^3)\\
&\quad +9(3,1^5) + 9(2^4) + 10(2^3,1^2) + 8(2^2,1^4) + 2(2,1^6) + 2(1^8);\\
\psi(S_8, \bar{A}_8) \quad &= 10(8) + 12(7,1) + 25(6,2) + 16(6,1^2) + 19(5,3) + 39(5,2,1) + 22(5,1^3) + 13(4^2) + 35(4,3,1)\\
&\quad +33(4,2^2) + 41(4,2,1^2) + 17(4,1^4) + 18(3^2,2) + 26(3^2,1^2) + 29(3,2^2,1) + 26(3,2,1^3)\\
&\quad +8(3,1^5) + 10(2^4) + 9(2^3,1^2) + 9(2^2,1^4) + 3(2,1^6);\\
\psi(S_9, A_9) \quad &= 16(9) + 18(8,1) + 39(7,2) + 29(7,1^2) + 44(6,3) + 77(6,2,1) + 41(6,1^3)\\
&\quad +32(5,4) + 94(5,3,1) + 76(5,2^2) + 100(5,2,1^2) + 38(5,1^4)\\
&\quad +47(4^2,1) + 84(4,3,2) + 104(4,3,1^2) + 102(4,2^2,1) + 84(4,2,1^3) + 26(4,1^5)\\
&\quad +20(3^3) + 72(3^2,2,1) + 52(3^2,1^3) + 40(3,2^3) + 65(3,2^2,1^2) + 42(3,2,1^4)\\
&\quad +12(3,1^6) + 17(2^4,1) + 20(2^3,1^3) + 10(2^2,1^5) + 3(2,1^7) + 2(1^9)\\
\psi(S_9, \bar{A}_9) \quad &= 14(9) + 19(8,1) + 40(7,2) + 28(7,1^2) + 43(6,3) + 77(6,2,1) + 41(6,1^4)\\
&\quad +32(5,4) + 94(5,3,1) + 76(5,2^2) + 101(5,2,1^2) + 37(5,1^4)\\
&\quad +48(4^2,1) + 84(4,3,2) + 103(4,3,1^2) + 101(4,2^2,1) + 85(4,2,1^3) + 26(4,1^5)\\
&\quad +21(3^3) + 72(3^2,2,1) + 52(3^2,1^3) + 41(3,2^3) + 65(3,2^2,1^2) + 42(3,2,1^4)\\
&\quad +11(3,1^6) + 17(2^4,1) + 19(2^3,1^3) + 11(2^2,1^5) + 4(2,1^7);\\
\psi(S_{10}, A_{10}) \quad &= 22(10) + 27(9,1) + 65(8,2) + 46(8,1^2) + 79(7,3) + 142(7,2,1) + 74(7,1^3)\\
&\quad +82(6,4) + 212(6,3,1) + 164(6,2^2) + 213(6,2,1^2) + 79(6,1^4)\\
&\quad +26(5^2) + 173(5,4,1) + 248(5,3,2) + 307(5,3,1^2) + 273(5,2^2,1) + 224(5,2,1^3) + 62(5,1^5)\\
&\quad +142(4^2,2) + 141(4^2,1^2) + 100(4,3^2) + 361(4,3,2,1) + 241(4,3,1^3) + 152(4,2^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +246(4,2^2,1^2) + 155(4,2,1^4) + 36(4,1^6)\\
&\quad +97(3^3,1) + 104(3^2,2^2) + 193(3^2,2,1^2) + 89(3^2,1^4) + 121(3,2^3,1) + 129(3,2^2,1^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +64(3,2,1^5) + 17(3,1^7)\\
&\quad +23(2^5) + 32(2^4,1^2) + 32(2^3,1^4) + 12(2^2,1^8) + 4(2,1^{10}) + 2(1^{10});\\
\psi(S_{10}, \bar{A}_{10}) \quad &= 20(10) + 28(9,1) + 66(8,2) + 45(8,1^2) + 78(7,3) + 142(7,2,1) + 74(7,1^3)\\
&\quad +83(6,4) + 212(6,3,1) + 163(6,2^2) + 214(6,2,1^2) + 79(6,1^4)\\
&\quad +26(5^2) + 172(5,4,1) + 249(5,3,2) + 307(5,3,1^2) + 273(5,2^2,1) + 223(5,2,1^3) + 62(5,1^5)\\
&\quad +142(4^2,2) + 142(4^2,1^2) + 100(4,3^2) + 360(4,3,2,1) + 241(4,3,1^3) + 153(4,2^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +246(4,2^2,1^2) + 156(4,2,1^4) + 36(4,1^6)\\
&\quad +97(3^3,1) + 104(3^2,2^2) + 194(3^2,2,1^2) + 88(3^2,1^4) + 120(3,2^3,1) + 129(3,2^2,1^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +64(3,2,1^5) + 16(3,1^7)\\
&\quad +23(2^5) + 33(2^4,1^2) + 31(2^3,1^4) + 13(2^2,1^8) + 5(2,1^{10});
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(S_{11}, A_{11}) &= 29(11) + 41(10,1) + 100(9,2) + 73(9,1^2) + 142(8,3) + 248(8,2,1) + 125(8,1^3)\\
&+164(7,4) + 432(7,3,1) + 314(7,2^2) + 426(7,2,1^2) + 151(7,1^4)\\
&+107(6,5) + 465(6,4,1) + 621(6,3,2) + 738(6,3,1^2) + 645(6,2^2,1) + 519(6,2,1^3) + 140(6,1^5)\\
&+200(5^2,1) + 566(5,4,2) + 619(5,4,1^2) + 349(5,3^2) + 1188(5,3,2,1) + 769(5,3,1^3) + 432(5,2^3)\\
&\qquad +742(5,2^2,1^2) + 441(5,2,1^4) + 99(5,1^6)\\
&+239(4^2,3) + 644(4^2,2,1) + 384(4^2,1^3) + 560(4,3^2,1) + 614(4,3,2^2) + 1043(4,3,2,1^2)\\
&\qquad +484(4,3,1^4) + 515(4,2^3,1) + 533(4,2^2,1^3) + 254(4,2,1^5) + 53(4,1^7)\\
&+202(3^3,2) + 287(3^3,1^2) + 420(3^2,2^2,1) + 410(3^2,2,1^3) + 155(3^2,1^5) + 146(3,2^4) + 282(3,2^3,1^2)\\
&\qquad +223(3,2^2,1^4) + 93(3,2,1^6) + 21(3,1^8)\\
&+53(2^5,1) + 66(2^4,1^3) + 44(2^3,1^5) + 17(2^2,1^7) + 5(2,1^9) + 2(1^{11})\\
\psi(S_{11}, \bar{A}_{11}) &= 27(11) + 42(10,1) + 101(9,2) + 72(9,1^2) + 141(8,3) + 248(8,2,1) + 125(8,1^3)\\
&+164(7,4) + 432(7,3,1) + 314(7,2^2) + 427(7,2,1^2) + 150(7,1^4)\\
&+108(6,5) + 465(6,4,1) + 621(6,3,2) + 738(6,3,1^2) + 644(6,2^2,1) + 519(6,2,1^3) + 141(6,1^5)\\
&+199(5^2,1) + 566(5,4,2) + 619(5,4,1^2) + 350(5,3^2) + 1188(5,3,2,1) + 769(5,3,1^3) + 433(5,2^3)\\
&\qquad +742(5,2^2,1^2) + 441(5,2,1^4) + 98(5,1^6)\\
&+239(4^2,3) + 644(4^2,2,1) + 385(4^2,1^3) + 559(4,3^2,1) + 614(4,3,2^2) + 1043(4,3,2,1^2)\\
&\qquad +483(4,3,1^4) + 515(4,2^3,1) + 533(4,2^2,1^3) + 255(4,2,1^5) + 53(4,1^7)\\
&+202(3^3,2) + 288(3^3,1^2) + 420(3^2,2^2,1) + 410(3^2,2,1^3) + 155(3^2,1^5) + 145(3,2^4) + 282(3,2^3,1^2)\\
&\qquad +223(3,2^2,1^4) + 93(3,2,1^6) + 20(3,1^8)\\
&+54(2^5,1) + 66(2^4,1^3) + 43(2^3,1^5) + 18(2^2,1^7) + 6(2,1^9)\\
\psi(S_{12}, A_{12}) &= 40(12) + 58(11,1) + 155(10,2) + 112(10,1^2) + 236(9,3) + 414(9,2,1) + 207(9,1^3)\\
&+318(8,4) + 815(8,3,1) + 586(8,2^2) + 789(8,2,1^2) + 276(8,1^4)\\
&+260(7,5) + 1060(7,4,1) + 1355(7,3,2) + 1616(7,3,1^2) + 1373(7,2^2,1) + 1099(7,2,1^3) + 288(7,1^5)\\
&+124(6^2) + 767(6,5,1) + 1679(6,4,2) + 1801(6,4,1^2) + 960(6,3^3) + 3187(6,3,2,1) + 2032(6,3,1^3)\\
&\qquad +1108(6,2^3) + 1891(6,2^2,1^2) + 1106(6,2,1^4) + 236(6,1^6)\\
&+747(5^2,2) + 842(5^2,1^2) + 1147(5,4,3) + 3016(5,4,2,1) + 1771(5,4,1^3)\\
&\qquad +2115(5,3^2,1) + 2211(5,3,2^2) + 3753(5,3,2,1^2) + 1678(5,3,1^4)\\
&\qquad +1682(5,2^3,1) + 1727(5,2^2,1^3) + 793(5,2,1^5) + 156(5,1^7)\\
&+263(4^3) + 1426(4^2,3,1) + 1294(4^2,2^2) + 2053(4^2,2,1^2) + 888(4^2,1^4) + 1363(4,3^2,2)\\
&\qquad +1901(4,3^2,1^2) + 2592(4,3,2^2,1) + 2466(4,3,2,1^3) + 892(4,3,1^5)\\
&\qquad +684(4,2^4) + 1314(4,2^3,1^2) + 1019(4,2^2,1^4) + 394(4,2,1^6) + 75(4,1^8)\\
&+218(3^4) + 906(3^3,2,1) + 690(3^3,1^3) + 549(3^2,2^3) + 1132(3^2,2^2,1^2) + 779(3^2,2,1^4) + 258(3^2,1^6)\\
&\qquad +474(3,2^4,1) + 574(3,2^3,1^3) + 357(3,2^2,1^5) + 130(3,2,1^7) + 26(3,1^9)\\
&+66(2^6) + 114(2^5,1^2) + 112(2^4,1^4) + 61(2^3,1^6) + 24(2^2,1^8) + 5(2,1^{10}) + 3(1^{12}).\\
\psi(S_{12}, \bar{A}_{12}) &= 37(12) + 60(11,1) + 156(10,2) + 110(10,1^2) + 235(9,3) + 414(9,2,1) + 208(9,1^3)\\
&+319(8,4) + 815(8,3,1) + 585(8,2^2) + 790(8,2,1^2) + 275(8,1^4)\\
&+259(7,5) + 1060(7,4,1) + 1356(7,3,2) + 1615(7,3,1^2) + 1373(7,2^2,1) + 1099(7,2,1^3) + 288(7,1^5)\\
&+125(6^2) + 767(6,5,1) + 1678(6,4,2) + 1802(6,4,1^2) + 960(6,3^3) + 3187(6,3,2,1) + 2032(6,3,1^3)\\
&\qquad +1109(6,2^3) + 1890(6,2^2,1^2) + 1107(6,2,1^4) + 236(6,1^6)\\
&+748(5^2,2) + 841(5^2,1^2) + 1147(5,4,3) + 3016(5,4,2,1) + 1771(5,4,1^3)\\
&\qquad +2115(5,3^2,1) + 2210(5,3,2^2) + 3754(5,3,2,1^2) + 1677(5,3,1^4)\\
&\qquad +1682(5,2^3,1) + 1727(5,2^2,1^3) + 793(5,2,1^5) + 155(5,1^7)\\
&+263(4^3) + 1426(4^2,3,1) + 1295(4^2,2^2) + 2052(4^2,2,1^2) + 889(4^2,1^4) + 1363(4,3^2,2)\\
&\qquad +1901(4,3^2,1^2) + 2592(4,3,2^2,1) + 2466(4,3,2,1^3) + 892(4,3,1^5)\\
&\qquad +683(4,2^4) + 1315(4,2^3,1^2) + 1018(4,2^2,1^4) + 395(4,2,1^6) + 76(4,1^8)\\
&+218(3^4) + 906(3^3,2,1) + 690(3^3,1^3) + 550(3^2,2^3) + 1131(3^2,2^2,1^2) + 780(3^2,2,1^4) + 257(3^2,1^6)\\
&\qquad +474(3,2^4,1) + 574(3,2^3,1^3) + 357(3,2^2,1^5) + 130(3,2,1^7) + 24(3,1^9)\\
&+67(2^6) + 113(2^5,1^2) + 113(2^4,1^4) + 60(2^3,1^6) + 25(2^2,1^8) + 7(2,1^{10}).
\end{aligned}$$

Table 4: Decomposition into irreducibles of $\varepsilon(S_n, A_n)$ and $\varepsilon(S_n, \bar{A}_n)$ for $2 \leq n \leq 12$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(S_2, A_2) &= (1^2); \quad \varepsilon(S_2, \bar{A}_2) = (2);\\
\varepsilon(S_3, A_3) &= (3) + 2(1^3); \quad \varepsilon(S_3, \bar{A}_3) = (3) + (2,1)\\
\varepsilon(S_4, A_4) &= (4) + 2(3,1) + \quad (2,1^2) + 2(1^4),\\
\varepsilon(S_4, \bar{A}_4) &= (4) + (3,1) + (2^2) + 2(2,1^2);\\
\varepsilon(S_5, A_5) &= (5) + 2(4,1) + 2(3,2) + 4(3,1^2) + 2(2^2,1) + (2,1^3) + 3(1^5),\\
\varepsilon(S_5, \bar{A}_5) &= 2(5) + 2(4,1) + 2(3,2) + 3(3,1^2) + 2(2^2,1) + 3(2,1^3);\\
\varepsilon(S_6, A_6) &= 2(6) + 3(5,1) + 4(4,2) + 6(4,1^2) + +2(3^2) + 7(3,2,1) + 6(3,1^3)\\
&\quad +3(2^3) + 4(2^2,1^2) + 2(2,1^4) + 4(1^6),\\
\varepsilon(S_6, \bar{A}_6) &= 2(6) + 3(5,1) + 4(4,2) + 6(4,1^2) + 4(3^2) + 6(3,2,1) + 6(3,1^3)\\
&\quad +3(2^3) + 4(2^2,1^2) + 4(2,1^4)\\
\varepsilon(S_7, A_7) &= 2(7) + 5(6,1) + 7(5,2) + 9(5,1^2) + 7(4,3) + 17(4,2,1) + 11(4,1^3)\\
&\quad +9(3^2,1) + 9(3,2^2) + 17(3,2,1^2) + 10(3,1^4) + 7(2^3,1) + 7(2^2,1^3) + 3(2,1^5) + 5(1^7)\\
\varepsilon(S_7, \bar{A}_7) &= 3(7) + 4(6,1) + 7(5,2) + 10(5,1^2) + 7(4,3) + 16(4,2,1) + 12(4,1^3)\\
&\quad +10(3^2,1) + 10(3,2^2) + 16(3,2,1^2) + 9(3,1^4) + 7(2^3,1) + 7(2^2,1^3) + 6(2,1^5)\\
\varepsilon(S_8, A_8) &= 3(8) + 6(7,1) + 12(6,2) + 15(6,1^2) + 14(5,3) + 32(5,2,1) + 21(5,1^3) + 6(4^2)\\
&\quad +34(4,3,1) + 27(4,2^2) + 44(4,2,1^2) + 21(4,1^4) + 18(3^2,2) + 26(3^2,1^2) + 33(3,2^2,1)\\
&\quad +33(3,2,1^3) + 15(3,1^5) + 6(2^4) + 15(2^3,1^2) + 11(2^2,1^4) + 5(2,1^6) + 6(1^8)\\
\varepsilon(S_8, \bar{A}_8) &= 3(8) + 7(7,1) + 11(6,2) + 14(6,1^2) + 15(5,3) + 33(5,2,1) + 21(5,1^3) + 7(4^2)\\
&\quad +32(4,3,1) + 26(4,2^2) + 45(4,2,1^2) + 21(4,1^4) + 19(3^2,2) + 27(3^2,1^2) + 33(3,2^2,1)\\
&\quad +32(3,2,1^3) + 14(3,1^5) + 7(2^4) + 14(2^3,1^2) + 12(2^2,1^4) + 8(2,1^6)\\
\varepsilon(S_9, A_9) &= 4(9) + 9(8,1) + 18(7,2) + 21(7,1^2) + 28(6,3) + 57(6,2,1) + 37(6,1^3)\\
&\quad +19(5,4) + 80(5,3,1) + 60(5,2^2) + 98(5,2,1^2) + 41(5,1^4)\\
&\quad +41(4^2,1) + 77(4,3,2) + 104(4,3,1^2) + 104(4,2^2,1) + 98(4,2,1^3) + 36(4,1^5)\\
&\quad +20(3^3) + 77(3^2,2,1) + 41(3,2^3) + 60(3^2,1^3) + 80(3,2^2,1^2) + 57(3,2,1^4) + 23(3,1^6)\\
&\quad +19(2^4,1) + 28(2^3,1^3) + 18(2^2,1^5) + 6(2,1^7) + 8(1^9)\\
\varepsilon(S_9, \bar{A}_9) &= 4(9) + 8(8,1) + 18(7,2) + 23(7,1^2) + 27(6,3) + 57(6,2,1) + 35(6,1^3)\\
&\quad +20(5,4) + 80(5,3,1) + 61(5,2^2) + 98(5,2,1^2) + 42(5,1^4)\\
&\quad +41(4^2,1) + 76(4,3,2) + 104(4,3,1^2) + 104(4,2^2,1) + 98(4,2,1^3) + 36(4,1^5)\\
&\quad +23(3^3) + 76(3^2,2,1) + 41(3,2^3) + 61(3^2,1^3) + 80(3,2^2,1^2) + 57(3,2,1^4) + 21(3,1^6)\\
&\quad +20(2^4,1) + 27(2^3,1^3) + 18(2^2,1^5) + 11(2,1^7)\\
\varepsilon(S_{10}, A_{10}) &= 5(10) + 11(9,1) + 27(8,2) + 32(8,1^2) + 46(7,3) + 96(7,2,1) + 57(7,1^3)\\
&\quad +47(6,4) + 164(6,3,1) + 118(6,2^2) + 192(6,2,1^2) + 78(6,1^4)\\
&\quad +19(5^2) + 141(5,4,1) + 217(5,3,2) + 282(5,3,1^2) + 262(5,2^2,1) + 237(5,2,1^3) + 77(5,1^5)\\
&\quad +118(4^2,2) + 144(4^2,1^2) + 98(4,3^2) + 361(4,3,2,1) + 263(4,3,1^3) + 144(4,2^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +283(4,2^2,1^2) + 191(4,2,1^4) + 58(4,1^6)\\
&\quad +97(3^3,1) + 119(3^2,2^2) + 217(3^2,2,1^2) + 117(3^2,1^4) + 140(3,2^3,1) + 164(3,2^2,1^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +97(3,2,1^5) + 32(3,1^7)\\
&\quad +21(2^5) + 46(2^4,1^2) + 47(2^3,1^4) + 26(2^2,1^6) + 9(2,1^8) + 10(1^{10});\\
\varepsilon(S_{10}, \bar{A}_{10}) &= 5(10) + 12(9,1) + 26(8,2) + 31(8,1^2) + 46(7,3) + 97(7,2,1) + 58(7,1^3)\\
&\quad +46(6,4) + 165(6,3,1) + 118(6,2^2) + 190(6,2,1^2) + 78(6,1^4)\\
&\quad +22(5^2) + 139(5,4,1) + 216(5,3,2) + 284(5,3,1^2) + 263(5,2^2,1) + 236(5,2,1^3) + 79(5,1^5)\\
&\quad +119(4^2,2) + 145(4^2,1^2) + 98(4,3^2) + 360(4,3,2,1) + 262(4,3,1^3) + 145(4,2^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +283(4,2^2,1^2) + 191(4,2,1^4) + 57(4,1^6)\\
&\quad +99(3^3,1) + 118(3^2,2^2) + 216(3^2,2,1^2) + 119(3^2,1^4) + 140(3,2^3,1) + 165(3,2^2,1^3)\\
&\qquad\qquad +96(3,2,1^5) + 31(3,1^7)\\
&\quad +20(2^5) + 47(2^4,1^2) + 45(2^3,1^4) + 27(2^2,1^6) + 14(2,1^8);
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(S_{11}, A_{11}) &= 6(11) + 16(10,1) + 38(9,2) + 43(9,1^2) + 73(8,3) + 154(8,2,1) + 90(8,1^3)\\
&+92(7,4) + 307(7,3,1) + 215(7,2^2) + 345(7,2,1^2) + 134(7,1^4)\\
&+67(6,5) + 352(6,4,1) + 498(6,3,2) + 638(6,3,1^2) + 572(6,2^2,1) + 506(6,2,1^3) + 157(6,1^5)\\
&+159(5^2,1) + 476(5,4,2) + 568(5,4,1^2) + 315(5,3^2) + 1122(5,3,2,1) + 782(5,3,1^3) + 407(5,2^3)\\
&\qquad +782(5,2^2,1^2) + 505(5,2,1^4) + 135(5,1^6)\\
&+215(4^2,3) + 623(4^2,2,1) + 407(4^2,1^3) + 558(4,3^2,1) + 624(4,3,2^2) + 1122(4,3,2,1^2)\\
&\qquad +572(4,3,1^4) + 567(4,2^3,1) + 639(4,2^2,1^3) + 345(4,2,1^5) + 89(4,1^7)\\
&+215(3^3,2) + 314(3^3,1^2) + 476(3^2,2^2,1) + 499(3^2,2,1^3) + 214(3^2,1^5) + 160(3,2^4) + 351(3,2^3,1^2)\\
&\qquad +307(3,2^2,1^4) + 154(3,2,1^6) + 45(3,1^8)\\
&+68(2^5,1) + 91(2^4,1^3) + 74(2^3,1^5) + 38(2^2,1^7) + 12(2,1^9) + 12(1^{11})\\
\varepsilon(S_{11}, \bar{A}_{11}) &= 6(11) + 15(10,1) + 38(9,2) + 45(9,1^2) + 74(8,3) + 153(8,2,1) + 88(8,1^3)\\
&+91(7,4) + 307(7,3,1) + 216(7,2^2) + 345(7,2,1^2) + 137(7,1^4)\\
&+68(6,5) + 351(6,4,1) + 498(6,3,2) + 640(6,3,1^2) + 571(6,2^2,1) + 504(6,2,1^3) + 156(6,1^5)\\
&+161(5^2,1) + 475(5,4,2) + 566(5,4,1^2) + 316(5,3^2) + 1122(5,3,2,1) + 783(5,3,1^3) + 407(5,2^3)\\
&\qquad +783(5,2^2,1^2) + 505(5,2,1^4) + 136(5,1^6)\\
&+216(4^2,3) + 625(4^2,2,1) + 407(4^2,1^3) + 555(4,3^2,1) + 624(4,3,2^2) + 1122(4,3,2,1^2)\\
&\qquad +571(4,3,1^4) + 567(4,2^3,1) + 639(4,2^2,1^3) + 345(4,2,1^5) + 89(4,1^7)\\
&+216(3^3,2) + 317(3^3,1^2) + 475(3^2,2^2,1) + 497(3^2,2,1^3) + 217(3^2,1^5) + 160(3,2^4) + 352(3,2^3,1^2)\\
&\qquad +307(3,2^2,1^4) + 153(3,2,1^6) + 43(3,1^8)\\
&+67(2^5,1) + 92(2^4,1^3) + 73(2^3,1^5) + 38(2^2,1^7) + 19(2,1^9)\\
\varepsilon(S_{12}, A_{12}) &= 7(12) + 20(11,1) + 54(10,2) + 61(10,1^2) + 114(9,3) + 233(9,2,1) + 133(9,1^3)\\
&+162(8,4) + 534(8,3,1) + 371(8,2^2) + 588(8,2,1^2) + 225(8,1^4)\\
&+160(7,5) + 752(7,4,1) + 1018(7,3,2) + 1292(7,3,1^2) + 1132(7,2^2,1) + 984(7,2,1^3) + 292(7,1^5)\\
&+70(6^2) + 576(6,5,1) + 1326(6,4,2) + 1557(6,4,1^2) + 820(6,3^3) + 2826(6,3,2,1) + 1930(6,3,1^3)\\
&\qquad +982(6,2^3) + 1860(6,2^2,1^2) + 1164(6,2,1^4) + 295(6,1^6)\\
&+638(5^2,2) + 727(5^2,1^2) + 999(5,4,3) + 2793(5,4,2,1) + 1753(5,4,1^3)\\
&\qquad +1994(5,3^2,1) + 2153(5,3,2^2) + 3809(5,3,2,1^2) + 1859(5,3,1^4)\\
&\qquad +1753(5,2^3,1) + 1929(5,2^2,1^3) + 985(5,2,1^5) + 222(5,1^7)\\
&+223(4^3) + 1396(4^2,3,1) + 1243(4^2,2^2) + 2153(4^2,2,1^2) + 982(4^2,1^4) + 1397(4,3^2,2)\\
&\qquad +1994(4,3^2,1^2) + 2792(4,3,2^2,1) + 2827(4,3,2,1^3) + 1132(4,3,1^5)\\
&\qquad +728(4,2^4) + 1557(4,2^3,1^2) + 1292(4,2^2,1^4) + 588(4,2,1^6) + 134(4,1^8)\\
&+220(3^4) + 1001(3^3,2,1) + 818(3^3,1^3) + 637(3^2,2^3) + 1326(3^2,2^2,1^2) + 1019(3^2,2,1^4) + 370(3^2,1^6)\\
&\qquad +576(3,2^4,1) + 752(3,2^3,1^3) + 533(3,2^2,1^5) + 234(3,2,1^7) + 62(3,1^9)\\
&+70(2^6) + 160(2^5,1^2) + 162(2^4,1^4) + 115(2^3,1^6) + 53(2^2,1^8) + 16(2,1^{10}) + 15(1^{12}).\\
\varepsilon(S_{12}, \bar{A}_{12}) &= 8(12) + 20(11,1) + 53(10,2) + 61(10,1^2) + 114(9,3) + 233(9,2,1) + 135(9,1^3)\\
&+163(8,4) + 534(8,3,1) + 372(8,2^2) + 587(8,2,1^2) + 222(8,1^4)\\
&+159(7,5) + 752(7,4,1) + 1018(7,3,2) + 1291(7,3,1^2) + 1132(7,2^2,1) + 986(7,2,1^3) + 295(7,1^5)\\
&+70(6^2) + 576(6,5,1) + 1325(6,4,2) + 1559(6,4,1^2) + 819(6,3^3) + 2827(6,3,2,1) + 1929(6,3,1^3)\\
&\qquad +982(6,2^3) + 1859(6,2^2,1^2) + 1163(6,2,1^4) + 292(6,1^6)\\
&+638(5^2,2) + 728(5^2,1^2) + 1002(5,4,3) + 2790(5,4,2,1) + 1752(5,4,1^3)\\
&\qquad +1994(5,3^2,1) + 2154(5,3,2^2) + 3810(5,3,2,1^2) + 1860(5,3,1^4)\\
&\qquad +1752(5,2^3,1) + 1930(5,2^2,1^3) + 985(5,2,1^5) + 225(5,1^7)\\
&+220(4^3) + 1396(4^2,3,1) + 1246(4^2,2^2) + 2154(4^2,2,1^2) + 982(4^2,1^4) + 1395(4,3^2,2)\\
&\qquad +1994(4,3^2,1^2) + 2791(4,3,2^2,1) + 2826(4,3,2,1^3) + 1132(4,3,1^5)\\
&\qquad +727(4,2^4) + 1559(4,2^3,1^2) + 1291(4,2^2,1^4) + 587(4,2,1^6) + 134(4,1^8)\\
&+223(3^4) + 1000(3^3,2,1) + 821(3^3,1^3) + 639(3^2,2^3) + 1325(3^2,2^2,1^2) + 1017(3^2,2,1^4) + 373(3^2,1^6)\\
&\qquad +576(3,2^4,1) + 752(3,2^3,1^3) + 535(3,2^2,1^5) + 232(3,2,1^7) + 60(3,1^9)\\
&+70(2^6) + 159(2^5,1^2) + 163(2^4,1^4) + 113(2^3,1^6) + 54(2^2,1^8) + 24(2,1^{10}).
\end{aligned}$$

References

1. C. Cadogan, *The Möbius function and connected graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory (B) **11** (1971), 193-200 (3).
2. A. Frumkin, *Theorem about the conjugacy representation of S_n*, Israel J. Math. **55** (1986), 121–128.
3. H. O. Foulkes, in *Characters of symmetric groups induced by characters of cyclic subgroups*, Proc. Conf. on Combinatorial Math., Oxford (D.J.A. Welsh and D.R. Woodall, eds.), The Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, Southend-on-Sea, Essex (1972), 141-154.
4. W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory, A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 129, Springer-Verlag (1991).
5. O. Hölder, *Zur Theorie der Kreisteilungsgleichung $K_m(x) = 0$*, Prace Matematyczno Fizyczne, **43** (1936), 13-23.
6. P. Hanlon, *The fixed-point partition lattices*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981), 319–341.
7. P. L. Hersh and V. Reiner, *S_n-representation stability for Whitney homology and rank-selected homology of the partition lattice*, to appear.
8. G. Heide, J. Saxl, P. H. Tiep and A. E. Zalesski, *Conjugacy action, induced representations and the Steinberg square for simple groups of Lie type*, J. London Math. Soc., submitted. Arxiv12091768v1 (2012).
9. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth edition, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press (1979).
10. G. D. James and A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Addison-Wesley (1981).
11. W. Kráskiewicz and J. Weyman, *Algebra of coinvariants and the action of a Coxeter element*, Bayreuth Math. Schr. **63** (2001), 265–284.
12. I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press (1995).
13. J. Nagura, *On the interval containing at least one prime number*, Proc. Japan Acad. **28**, (4) (1952), 177181. doi:10.3792/pja/1195570997
14. ShreevatsaR, (http://math.stackexchange.com/users/205/shreevatsar), *Primes between n and $2n$*, URL (version: 2013-08-13): http://math.stackexchange.com/q/466857
15. C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Oxford Science Publications (1993).
16. K. H. Rosen, *Elementary Number Theory and its Applications,* 4th edition, Addison-Wesley (1999).
17. T. Scharf, *Ein weiterer Beweis, daß die konjugierende Darstellung der symmetrischen Gruppe jede irreduzible Darstellung enthält*, Arch. Math. **54** (1990), 427–429.
18. L. Solomon, *On the sum of the elements in the character table of a finite group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** No. 6 (1961), 962–963.
19. R. Stanley, *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*, J. Comb. Theory (A) **32**, No. 2 (1982), 132–161.
20. ———, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol.2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
21. S. Sundaram, *The homology representations of the symmetric group on Cohen-Macaulay subposets of the partition lattice*, Adv. in Math. **104** (2)(1994), 225–296.
22. ———, *Applications of the Hopf trace formula to computing homology representations*, in *Jerusalem Combinatorics Conference 1993*, Contemporary Math., *Barcelo and Kalai, eds.*, Amer. Math. Soc.**178** (1994), 277–309.
23. R. Daublebsky von Sterneck, *Ein Analogon zur additiven Zahlentheorie,* Sitzber. Akad. Wiss. Wien, Math,-Naturw. Klasse, **111** (Abt. IIa) (1902), 1567-1601.

Pierrepont School, One Sylvan Road North, Westport, CT 06880
E-mail address: shsund@comcast.net